

## Associated Students of Colorado State University

### Forty-Fourth Senate

### Twenty Sixth Session

April 15, 2015

## Agenda

- I. Call to Order
- II. Pledge
- III. Roll Call
- IV. Gallery Input
  - Bondi: I would like to congratulate Jason Sydoriak and Taylor Albaugh.
- V. Consent Agenda
  - 4.8.2015 Minutes
    - i. Sogge: I move to approve the consent agenda
      1. Vote: 17.0.0
- VI. Guest Speakers
  - Jake Christensen, Director of Governmental Affairs and Sarah Bruce, Deputy Director of Governmental Affairs
    - i. Bruce: House bill 151027 the instate tuition for Native Americans is still waiting hearing. Prohibit discrimination bill in higher ed got assigned to education committee. In state tuition for dependents on military members is still in committee. Medical test for assault victims was sent to the governor. The concealed handguns are awaiting hearings. Higher ed funding and appropriations cleanup was signed by governor. We as students probably won't see ramifications of this bill. The creation of career pathways for students passed house second reading with no amendments. It's seen as a need in Colorado. General fund exempt of higher education passed house second and third readings. It's a senate bill and the president of the senate has to approve the changes and speaker of the house and can be sent to the governor. In sterling, it's unlawful for a cat to roam freely at night without having a tail light.
  - Will Maher: Every week I talk to you all about May days. Who are we at CSU? What does the world think of CSU? There are a lot of athletics and we are also numbered a top party school by playboy. We also have riots. We do a lot more than riot. I got this list when I searched CSU. We have had so many amazing alumni; congressmen, astronauts, Olympians, etc. come out of CSU. Prodigy: he came to CSU at 13 with

210 IQ and had the Guinness world record for youngest genius and he chose CSU. Our faculty is great. The Peace Corps started here at CSU. We have made science cool. We do a lot of cool things in the fall but in the spring there is not a large scale CSU event and nothing for students to relax around. Homecoming we talk about clubs. Why don't we celebrate what we are doing here...going to school? May Day is a celebration for CSU academics and traditions. First, traditions. We have a lot of cool traditions to do. Sine people at CSU don't know the cool things about our school. We will have tradition games. We will have a dunk booth. We also will have an old main bell and ring it and pronounce your love for CSU. Academics. I met with a lot of colleges and asked for their participation to put on carnival games that has to do with their college. This is what is happening with Ram Welcome to have fun and celebrate our colleges. College of Ag is doing pots and decorating them and giving people that. This is free for them, it's coming out of my budget. We want to fill a money blower booth with monopoly money to use at tokens to get prizes. We want it to grow every year. It's the Friday before dead week. Next year, we want the colleges competing. Anyone who participates as running the booths will get a free t shirt.

- i. D: What day?
  1. Maher: It's on MayDay, May 1<sup>st</sup>.
- ii. Laffey: What aside from the experience do the college councils benefit from this?
  1. Maher: A lot of them brought up that a lot of their students don't bond well together. We want to bring the colleges closer together and hopefully it will become a competition to bring pride and emphasize involvement in the college.

VII. Ratification & Swearing in of New Members

VIII. Executive Reports

- Whitesell: I am on the search committee for the co-director in SLiCE. We are hoping to get some representation from ASCSU to attend lunches with the three candidates and it will be an informal interview setting. You'll get lunch. Please spread the word. We are trying to have a good representation across branches. We are looking for people available for all 3. Pam and I will figure out who will be able to attend. I think it's exciting. Please email me by Friday if you are interested. It's important for us because they are the primary advisor for next year. Last Thursday I went to University of Denver talking about mental health initiatives and we talked about things we can build on and collaborate on. Mo is at the keynote speaker for the sexual assault month. Mary Ontiveros lost a family member and we have a sympathy card and people can sign it.

- Maher: 11am to 3pm is MayDay.

IX. Judicial Reports

- Jordan: In the senate job description, senators are supposed to report to their college councils.

X. Senator Reports

- Laffey: Last week we did two things, allocated 1000 dollar scholarship, we allocated 2000 dollars to the CSU club rugby team. On report of faculty council, 5 members quit. This is troubling as many of these people have been on the council for a long time and have been dedicated to helping students. There is great concern that this could lead to very serious implications to our future relationship with faculty council.
- Silver: For Warner College, we have NR days coming up. Monday we have pancake breakfast and Tuesday we have gear swap and swap out old gear for something else. Thursday we have a trivia night in ramskellar from 4:30-9. The 22<sup>nd</sup> we have plaza day and will have cool facts about Warner College.
- Ozekin: CVMBS I was unable to attend. Mr. Seel presented about different initiatives between college councils and senate. Pre-Medica has a symposium this Saturday. Elections is next week.
- Higgins: For college of Ag, we have been in the process of selecting a new dean. Our meetings are set for an hour before senate and next week we will be having an open forum discussion about expectations we would like to see with the new dean. This week is LA days and has landscape architects this week,
- Seel: Engineering college council is not meeting this week because it is E-Days. I encourage you all to see that.
- D: For natural sciences, nothing fancy and we are without money.

XI. Associate Senator Reports

- Williams: RHA does have a full cabinet now and are looking forward to working with us this year.

XII. Confidence Business

XIII. Committee Reports

- Internal Affairs
  - i. Seel: Bill 4417 talked about having the elections member become a judicial member. We have several amendments. Some about clarifications in the constitution and added that it has to have that the job description be approved with this bill. The other bill is Ramride. It's the bill SFRB brought forward and was senate saying they condoned RamRide going into their own account.
    1. Earle: We have confirmed that the office of general council and the internal auditing department noted that Hallden Schnall would not

meet with them but they met with key personnel and reviewed budget documents. The audit was unable to find any unlawful spending. SFRB voted on Ramride and the long bill will be created and the SFRB was asking ASCSU to support the SFRB. The resolution should be tabled in committee. The resolution has no more purpose in this body.

- ii. Seel: The financial audit that was conducted, they found no issue with how Ramride was functioning.
- iii. Bill #4417 - Adjudicated Elections
- External Affairs
  - i. Nolan: We did have senator Laffey's bill. After weeks of deliberation, we will be bringing it to the floor but have a strong recommendation that a task force should be created, not just a resolution. Director Whitesell presented her resolution about the student sick day policy. Director Maher also came to us with his resolution about Ram Events. The issue of the lack of rape kits in Fort Collins was brought up and we agreed that something needed to happen.
    - 1. Whitesell: Senators have approached me with that and we are going to have a meeting with the health network to see if we can have collaboration.
  - ii. Resolution #4421 Non-Tenure Track Faculty
- University Issues
  - i. Ozekin: We have been back and forth with Steven Day with the energy audit. He came in and we asked him our questions to clear up any concerns. This resolution will endorse the implication of an energy audit system that will get ratings so sellers and buyers can make educated decisions. We asked who would do the audits, Fort Collins utilities. Who are subject to these audits? Apartment buildings, multifamily commercial buildings would not be required and if they did, one unit would be evaluated. We wanted to know the concerns about raising rents and he argues that the money you would save from the energy would level out. The homeowners would be responsible. Audits would be a onetime things. They could be reevaluated until they change the system. City council status, they had one suggestion to propose automatic F to not audited rating. 3407 were in favor of the audit. There's a 3 strike system and neighborhood service would be in charge of compliance.
    - 1. D: Where did the numbers come from?

- a. Ozekin: He has been surveying. It's not the people who are conducting the audits.
2. King: Things break sometimes so will there be a system to show the last time things were audited?
  - a. Ozekin: Any changes, you can re-audit, you could choose to do this.
3. Miltenberger: As of now, would it be apartments?
  - a. Ozekin: He's targeting more houses but eventually that would get to apartments.
- ii. Ozekin: We have also done the senate budgetary bylaws and BSOF amendments.
- iii. King: We talked about a bill that I wrote asking for the city of fort Collins to consider the student representative on the stadium advisory group.
- iv. Bill #4416 – In Pursuit of Fiscal Responsibility
- v. Resolution #4418 – Energy Audit

#### XIV. Old Business

- Bill #4416 – In Pursuit of Fiscal Responsibility
  - i. Jordan: I move to adopt bill #4416.
  - ii. Jordan: I would move the committee reports and it is the entirety of the bill with the budget committee bylaws and BSOF bylaws. It's the whole thing. It does everything we talked about before. I move into to adopt the committee report.
    1. Ozekin: Our amendments are the whole document. We went through and wrote those and went through this in committee. It's the whole document.
    2. King: I move to have the amendments read.
      - a. Vote: unanimous
    3. Jordan: I want to eliminate that last therefore.
    4. King: I appreciate this bill because it brings a link between the executive and senate so there is a connection of leadership between branches.
    5. Nolan: The therefore clause at the end, where it says shall consist of equal membership, that doesn't happen.
      - a. Jordan: That is how the bylaws are currently written.
    6. D: How can we keep an equal membership in all of these?
    7. King: Can you scroll down to 807 for me? Did we change that or is that the other section?
      - a. Jordan: in 808 we put in that one budget can be passed.

8. Ozekin: I request that Chief Justice Jordan can present the amendments to the other documents.
  - a. Vote: unanimous
  - b. Jordan: SBC bylaws, it defines what they do and we give general provisions to make sure it follows the constitution. It defines when they meet and how special meetings can be called. We have a chair and voting members. This prohibits any more than 3 voting members that are graduating at the time. The point is to provide continuity within ASCSU. If someone is vacant, a replacement will be elected within 2 senate sessions. The director of finance is the vice chair of SBC. The chair who is a senator can't make that tying vote. The president and 1 judicial member will have ex officio status. 6 members, a majority and 2/3 vote is identical. Quorum is 4. If voting member, you can't run for president without resigning before. The chair conducts meetings. The people who have the right to speak can only debate. It lists the agenda and how they sponsor bills and what missing a meeting does. You shouldn't miss more than 2 meetings. How they decide funding proposals, SBC receive by weekly reports about the budget from director of finance and have to receive presentations from speaker pro tempore and chief justice. It defines what SBC does during elections and they will open office hours to discuss the budget. These meetings go through the proposed budgets of the campaigns. After election, newly elected president meets with SBC and go over budget and come up with something final. This defines budget proposal requirements. They have to assume 10% increase in the contract. Rollover money will keep within the branch. They have to take in full consideration from presentations of projects. It says they have to meet all constitutional requirements. There has to be approval to let the finance director not be vice chair. The BSOF bylaws amendments is that it changes it from SFB to BSOF and reduces BSOF cap to 15,000 instead of 20,000. It changes BSOF's anything higher than 7500 dollars has to be approved by senate and it modifies the co-sponsor amounts. It makes it so it is between \$3000-7000 and more than \$7000 need 3 co-

sponsorship. Ryan Brookes has discussed these changes with student orgs so they know the new requirements and it breaks BSOF into two pots so that a section of new student orgs can have that so not all goes to the large events that are put on every year. By the end of the fall semester, that money can be moved into the big pot.

- i. Gallery: SBC, about probation for those with excessive absences? What kinds of restrictions does that entail?
  1. Jordan: It means you are on thin ice and if you keep missing, you'll be removed. It's a written notice.
- ii. Miltenberger: Why was the BSOF budget lowered?
  1. Jordan: That was Director Brookes' thought that this would be good. Bringing it down would allow and encourage orgs to go to other sources and allow more money for more orgs to put on events.
  2. Maher: Another reason is he created a graph that shows that the number of events has gone down while the amount has gone up and he had a lot of groups wanting to put on smaller events but there was not enough money. It makes it so that the smaller groups can function in a better way.

9. Vote: unanimous

- iii. Jordan: I move we strike the last therefore clause.
  1. Vote: 18.0.1
- iv. Jordan: I want to thank everyone for following this. I would really hope to get your yes vote.
- v. Balster: I encourage a yes vote. They've spent a lot of time and effort and everything they are proposing is great and what they want accomplished is well articulated.
- vi. D: I think it's a good bill but I find some things problematic.
  1. Jordan: Section 102 says a reelected or appointed official. This is redundant.
- vii. D: I move to strike the entire last sentence.

1. King: I don't think we need to change every little thing of the constitution when it doesn't talk about the purpose of the bill.
  2. Maher: I think not only is this might not be necessary to the point, it's an opportunity to fix up the constitution when it is redundant or conflict.
  3. D: I fully agree with previous speaker and might as well fix it.
  4. Vote: 18.0.0
- viii. D: Why does this occur?
1. Jordan: Because to do the time crunch, it needs to pass one way or another. We want to give the president one hour to act on it so that senate can't pass the budget without vetoing it.
- ix. Sydoriak: I deeply respect the authors of this bill. I think 90% of this bill is good. There are loopholes that may be used to pass it but a good portion can give the power to senate so SBC can write the budget. Senate almost always is unanimous and this almost gives the power to senate to write an entire budget. I don't think you should vote no nor yes because of that but look deeply at this bill and a lot of you might have only seen this for the first time tonight. Understand it will probably be vetoed.
- x. Gallery (Badhesha): We have an ambitions group of sophomores. There has been talk about someone has been vetoing it but maybe you should look into this. Rushing this quickly might not be the best thing.
- xi. Sydoriak: One of the most effective presidents of ASCSU is concerned about the future of ASCSU with this.
- xii. Bondi: Right now, the way the constitution is set up is not stopping any senator of proposing their own budget and if anything, this is restricting this. This actually puts the authority in the hands of a committee of 7 people to talk about it. This is limiting an individual senator to do this, and ideally if we find ourselves in a place that the SBC and president doesn't agree, there is probably something heinous happening. Ideally, we will have the SBC and president agree. A lot of people don't recognize that the senate can veto anything they want in the budget. If that budget goes through the senate, the president can turn around and veto it and the senate has to have 2/3 majority vote to get it through. I don't believe something so controversial could get a 2/3 vote. It's a solid number of people that have to be convinced in favor. This is all about checks and balances and power to make sure there is not an administration to rule. I may be biased because I wrote this but I encourage a yes vote.

- xiii. Seel: Nothing against the people who have voiced dissent, but it is because of executive members. We want to create this so that senate can look over what will happen year to year. It says something about where the concerns are coming from. It's an issue our body has. Having access to the budget and creating a template for them to use so that they can make effective choices, is a key power our body has a right to have to look at a budget and evaluate it. It's with the consideration that if they don't, we have concerns about what they will do with the budget. It's about respect for senators.
- xiv. Bondi: I've spent the last 5 and half days making the job descriptions and SFRB budget and if the SBC has been in place, we wouldn't have to have 5 and a half days and there would have been discussions about what we wanted to improve and continue. SBC can smooth over this entire process. Revoking this ability in the case where we have dueling budgets, that's such a small occurrence.
- xv. Gallery (Badhessa): I hear 2 arguments. 1 is that when people are elected, they don't have enough time to make the budget, this isn't the solution. Moving elections up can help this. This bill can do things besides its intentions. The intentions can be to check the executive but the impacts are so much greater and you're taking away the executives control of making the budget. It's incredibly irresponsible to vote this in one vote. You will change the entire 86 years in one vote.
- xvi. Maher: It was my understanding that previously, if the budget did not get approved, it went back to last year's budget.
  - 1. Bondi: It would be a friendly amendment in which I would love to add.
- xvii. Maher: There is option SBC budget and the difference is we think those are polar opposites. Let's say you are stuck with the lesser of two evils, a friendly amendment of last year's budget would be an option and would answer a lot of concerns.
- xviii. Bondi: Myself and Rioux would welcome this and it would fall when the senate can't get a 2/3 majority vote.
- xix. Maher: Right now, after tonight, we have to pass a budget but in the future, both budgets might not be ideal and one would be passed so I suggest that friendly amendment.
- xx. Whitesell: I feel like that this can seem like this a hefty thing to pass right now.
- xxi. Bondi: Rioux and I brought this to the floor 4 weeks ago. It's been in committee for almost 3 weeks now and it's not like this is something that is

coming out of right field. It's been talked about for 4 weeks and there has been opportunities so that things can be changed.

- xxii. Higgins: I am very split fence on this. I think two of our main speakers have talked about various extremes. Good things and bad things. I love the restructuring of BSOF of this bill but agree that it's hard for me to feel comfortable passing this in one vote because of the huge repercussions.
- xxiii. King: We discussed an issue if there are 4 senators that just don't like the budget that can blockade that senators can't bring their own budget. It's too extreme. We need to have an amendment that with the dissent of the SBC, it requires a 2/3 vote and will be seen before a senator bill. This is something that will change this organization. There's just a few more things that we should tighten up and I would love to see it go to committee.
- xxiv. Jordan: I move to add if the SBC does not sponsor the newly elected President's budget, a 2/3 vote of the senate is required to pass said budget.
1. King: I like that the members of SBC itself are members of senate and can speak on behalf of why they disapprove of this. This allows all ideas to be brought forth to a larger body. It doesn't stop the SBC but makes it so there is more voices being heard.
  2. Jordan: I like this amendment and it adds more requirement on the situation. This bill gives no new powers to the senate. It gives a mechanism so that the president can control those powers. In this case, we need to have the ability to pass a budget that is not the president's. There are changes every year with mandatory changes in salaries, we can't recommend last year's budget because it creates a baseline budget that can be modified. This covers the problems. I acknowledge the issues that have been brought up. This section is connected to each other. We have to do it all at once or none of it.
  3. Gallery (Badhessa): If your concern is that there isn't enough time for the budget, the issue is time and this doesn't affect time and it gives senate an ability to create their own kind of dynamic. The senate will have a leg up and this is happening in a rush vote which is not appropriate.
  4. Balster: One of the things that we are being tunnel visioned about is that anyone can run for president, even people with no prior knowledge. It's possible they bring to the floor a budget that is absolutely ridiculous. We have been lucky that we have had administrations that are interested in keeping things afloat but it's possible we can get a ridiculous budget. This bill gives a much better

and more soluble option of what the budget can do. It offers a backup plan.

5. Bondi: If previous speaker read the entire bill, they would see the SBC is required to send the budget to senate 5 weeks prior to the elections. This takes care of the problem of rash decisions. This is authority senate already has. I move to amend the amendment to the senate may override with a  $\frac{3}{4}$  vote, not  $\frac{2}{3}$ .
    - a. Bondi: This  $\frac{3}{4}$  vote makes it even harder for senate to pass a bill for senate to “go rogue” and pass their own budget.
    - b. King: I seek unanimous consent to compound the amendments to one amendment. (no dissent)
  6. Bondi: This amendment should ease concerns about the executive going rogue and keeping each other from passing something we worked together on.
  7. Seel: I motion for previous question.
    - a. Vote: 16.0.0
  8. Vote: 18.0.1
- xxv. King: I move to send this to external considering issues that have arisen, we aren't ready to pass this yet. I suggest further communications with leadership to make sure things are perfect. I want to make sure every loophole is accounted for.
1. Jordan: I go against this because if it doesn't pass tonight, a veto must be made or not made within the first couple weeks. The only chance to override it is now. This bill will die permanently. I have tried to get President Guinn to speak with me and she has said “not right now”. I have tried to find the issues to avoid this. This is a place it can be acceptable.
  2. Maher: I would like to echo previous speaker and address that this needs to be handled tonight coming from executive positions who have speaking rights. If it's true that Chief Justice Jordan has reached out, I don't think this needs to go to committee. The majority of senate sees what is happening.
  3. Sydoriak: Speak to me. Make the revisions we want. Preserve the institution. We can come to terms on this and if we have a thorough conversation about it, I won't veto it the first night.
- CONFIDENCE VOTE: 19.0.0
4. Sogge: I move for a five minute recess.

5. Sogge: I think the reason we are sending this to a committee is because we are tired. I think we can work through the problems we have tonight and keep it going.
6. Nolan: I have four members on my committee and this is contentious and you all have to show up. It's on 10am Monday morning.
7. Jordan: We came to a compromise that would let us get this bill through. Does the mover of this motion rescind this motion?
  - a. King: I rescind my motion.

xxvi. King: I move to amend as follows.

1. Nolan: I move to previous question.
  - a. Vote: unanimous.
2. Vote: 17.0.0

xxvii. King: I move to previous question.

1. Vote: unanimous.
2. Vote: 16.0.2

- Bill #4417 – Adjudicated Elections
- Resolution #4418 – Energy Audit
  - i. King: I move to approve resolution #4418.
  - ii. King: We have been working on this bill for a while and this is a great bill and this will help for energy issues in the city. This just says we support this and would love to see it move forward. I urge a yes vote.
  - iii. Laffey: I am in full support of this bill.
  - iv. Ozekin: I will stress that I am in full support of this. Steven Day and I have been emailing for a month. He has a full plan in place and is basing it off of other plans. We have basically become best friends. He is very proactive about this.
  - v. Nolan: I still support this bill in its entirety. I think I could trust this. I am voting for it.
  - vi. Vote: 19.0.1
- Resolution #4421 – Non-Tenure Track Faculty
  - i. Laffey: I move to adopt resolution #4421.
  - ii. Laffey: As some of you have noticed, Dan Bush the Vice Provost for Faculty affairs asked us to convey that he is in full support of this bill and he feels he is the guardian of the non-tenured tract and he encourages this discussion.
  - iii. Jordan: the intent of this bill is good but this suggests that something happens and I would love to see this re-done to a bill so that a task force can be created.

- iv. King: If we pass this, that doesn't mean we can't create another bill later, and therefore, I fully endorse passing this.
- v. Laffey: I recognize this concern but I am not prepared to write a bill to enforce this. I am not prepared to ask the faculty council to act on this.
- vi. Vote: 13.5.2

- Jordan: I move that we layover the remainder of old business until the next senate session.
  - i. Vote: 18.0.0

## XV. New Business

- Resolution #4424 – Ramevents
  - i. Nolan: I move to adopt resolution #4424
  - ii. Maher: Right now we are trying to handle something that occurred 7 years ago. ASAP left ASCSU because they had the better ability to put on better events with a better budget. Being moved under the LSC, they became their own area. There are 12 people and they handle 500,000 dollars. 12 people use half a million dollars of student fees to put events they choose by social precedents. I went through old records and looked at the events, and they were consistent and traditions. RamEvents has put on individual nontraditional events the past couple years. They have left to gain more power but lost oversight that made it great in the first place. This will gain the oversight again.
  - iii. Jordan: We passed a fiscal note in SFRB and suggests that to receive funding, they build in some sort of oversight with ASCSU to get better student voice and mark some money for homecoming.
    - 1. D: Is this absolutely necessary and why?
      - a. Maher: Ram Events changed their name due to rebranding. That name change was PR. They reinvented their name and went with the same oversight. With the budget, using last semester, right now there is an aspect of conflicts of interest between Ram Events and STPS offices. Of the events put on, 90% were with partnerships with STPS offices and had over 5 events a semester. With that aside, there is a consistent focus on STPS offices and there is a large cross over between who hires what. We can have a member of ASCSU serve as a student voice and say that this isn't the best option.
      - b. Jordan: It's not that we don't want STPS office events but Ram Events is built to put on events for the entirety of the student body.

2. D: Some form of oversight, are you implying they will have veto powers?
  - a. Maher: It's vague on purpose because we want them to pick. We are asking to have a member there. That's their decision. We don't want to overstep but we want that organization to let us be a part of that conversation.
  - b. Jordan: We don't want to say they are doing it wrong but want to say this is something that would help.
  - c. Maher: We have spoken with Lance Wright and Ram Events to get their input.
  - d. Bondi: Lance Wright is eager to talk to Jason and Taylor to get involved with engagement with homecoming and spring event. Lance never saw this resolution and he got this from Pam Norris. He hasn't seen the fiscal note that was passed and I never saw this. I wanted to support it, but Lance is interested in working with ASCSU.
- iv. King: I move to send this to internal.
- Resolution #4425 – Excused Absences
  - i. Nolan: I move to adopt resolution #4425.
  - ii. Whitesell: Essentially, Lauren Wester and I have been working on a proposal for an excused absence policy and strongly encourages instructors to work with RDS and Student Case Management. There are some instructors that are not very lenient and this will help provide administrative pressure to do this. It's pretty straight forward. The administration can't force faculty and instructors to do this and so it is strongly encouraged. I contacted Jody Donovan about how university excused absences are enforced and faculty are reluctant to follow that policy. Her guidance is this will be more successful if it's strongly recommended so there is more faculty buy in. It talks about continued discussion. We want to try and pass it on expedited status so we can have it for committee of teaching and learning next Tuesday.
  - iii. Nolan: This current copy is slightly updated. I want to add Lauren Wester to the sponsored list. I move to put this on expedited status.
    1. Vote: 17.0.0
  - iv. Nolan: I have seen this be worked on throughout the whole year and I think it's a fantastic idea and I know they've worked really hard on this. It's a good resolution and has my full support.

- v. Sogge: This is fantastic. The mental health committee brought this up back in the fall and it's a huge need for students. This is fantastic and let's pass it.
- vi. Lee: I also put my support behind this and we should vote yes.
- vii. Hill: In addition, I don't know if I'll be here next year. This is a first step but it's an issue that instructors cannot do this so I think it should be mandated.
- viii. Whitesell: This is a first step. The policy is a backup option and there is still the option for students to talk directly to their professors and work it out that way but this is just another option if things go wrong.
- ix. Balster: I agree with everything that's been said so I move to previous question.
  1. Vote: unanimous
  2. Vote: 17.0.0
- Bondi: I move to extend the confidence clock by an hour.
  - Sydoriak: Thanks so much for staying. We have the budget and job descriptions to get through.
  - Vote: 17.0.0
- Bondi: I move to amend the agenda to include bill #4418. I seek unanimous consent.
  - Vote: 15.0.2
- Bill #4418
  - Sydoriak: Getting descriptions and budgets out this early is really hard.
  - Sydoriak: We have 11 departments: Chief of Staff manages directors, Deputy Chief of Staff is also press secretary and we have a lot of initiatives that aren't specifically up there. We split governmental affairs into two departments. It's what they have been doing in the deputy position we currently have but giving them more power. University Affairs: representation of ASCSU to touch on issues that don't relate to academics. Traditions and Programs is same as student services. The only difference is that it sounds cooler and the inaugural ball is under outreach. This department will now focus on long standing traditions and making new traditions. Outreach, you just heard it. There are 2 deputies. One will be RLT and the other is changed to a more general position. Finance, same thing as what we have had. Environmental affairs, we have refined it but it's the same. Marketing is the same thing. Director of health we put more focus on initiatives and reframing conversations. All departments have a deputy but marketing and outreach have 2. Governmental affairs will look at state and city policies. Diversity and Inclusion: they will chair IEC and try to get more members for that and pull ideas from there. Academics is a brand new department taking all academic aspects out of university affairs and placing it in its own department. Instead of not being able to focus on conversations, we can have this time. Deputy Director we have put a blank template but they will do things under

delegation of directors. Deputy Director of marketing is of webmaster and graphics, maintain ASCSU website and work with all graphics for every department. All applications have general expectations and dates for retreats.

1) Maher: Athletics?

- Albaugh: under traditions and programming still. When it comes to dilemmas with athletics, it is under university affairs but things with promoting events is under traditions and programming.
- Bondi: It's a fragmented group of students however the current moment, it's in a limbo because it's been in student services, but Jason and Taylor will reach out to the communities to figure out which department they will work best in.
- Sydoriak: We will be patient with this and want to be careful with how we go about this. Having a more serious conversations about athletics is needed with the new head of athletics.
- Maher: I just want to make sure that athletics is given somewhere.
- Seel: The only mention of athletics is under health for Cam's Crew.

2) King: How do you see the webmaster working with press secretary?

- Sydoriak: Closely. We want someone specific to manage the website.
- Albaugh: that deputy director will work with various people, whoever wants something on the website.

3) Kendall: Is there a job description for elections manager?

- Seel: It's in another bill.

4) D: I notice a big discrepancy between your square space and the job descriptions.

- Albaugh: We didn't want to step on anyone's toes by posting all that before getting senate's approval. We understand that there are circumstances that affect their GPA

- Seel: I have talked about senate job descriptions. The Speaker Pro Tempore has been changed that they will be the chair for senate and will run every aspect of senate and will take charge of leadership in meetings and help senate officials do their job. The membership officer is to check in with people and make sure that all senators have goals they are working towards. They will make sure that all senators are in a committee and attend to it and make sure they go to college councils. Also educating new senators. Outreach officer is the recruitment part to talk with student orgs and college councils and work to find liaisons and keep student orgs filled in with what is happening in senate and help with media outreaches with PR officer. Parliamentarian is the same but we clarify more of the points and are responsible to ensure order and parliamentary procedure. They are the tech officer. Parliamentarian will be helping

the more experienced members of senate. They look at bills and edit them before they come to the floor and then make sure they're signed afterwards. The committee chair job description is also included. \$1500 each and then \$1500 split between the 3 vice chairs. These positions have to be appointed May 6<sup>th</sup> and tradeoffs and the VP will start elections and will elect everyone else and then ratify everyone. We increased the salaries because we want them to feel they are doing something more valuable.

- Sydoriak: Associate Senator Bondi and Deep have helped so much and worked really hard on this stuff. Salaries have been significantly increased because we want to make the wages equitable. That's why you see such a huge jump.
- Bondi: Many individuals have to have another job so ASCSU becomes a 3 or 4 on their priority list and we want to avoid this. The important things in what you are seeing is the total allocations, what was allocated this year and this is the controller book they are using right now. Pay attention to the mandatory increase and mandatory change. There is a drop of \$101,000 in the office of the president. You'll see that there is this discretionary spending and was the catch all for admin. It should've been allocated somewhere else. It's coming to grand total for 5000 dollars to do other things with it. The admin mixer we are pulling out of it, retreats, ASCSU alumni homecoming we pulled out and have given pretty fair estimates and placed them other places. Resource room associated with student org suite. The money we save for other campaign initiatives. SFRB is under VP. Copy machines and computers will be under office supplies. Election is moving to court budget. The office of the president a lot came out and went into more appropriate places. Office of VP is another drop because office of VP is under the president and it will catch both of those. Traditions and programming: very specific we have line itemed every initiative to make sure the money is supposed to go to each specific event. We increased some things and wanted to invest in this and put a lot into events for students to be Rams and engage with student government. We dropped 5000 dollars for forever green shirts because we can't get rid of them. iBall got moved out. Ram road trips and green ride and tailgating has been added. Health: We allocated 1000 dollars to each initiative but also there is 500 dollar reserve. In SBC bill, to move money around, there has to be a supplemental funding bill and it'll be enforced heavier. The reserve is for extra costs that might be needed to work and compensate. Diversity and Inclusion: this year there has been a lot of new initiatives like IEC and there wasn't money for that this year. We gave 1000 dollars for that. Diversity days started this semester and we can start co-sponsoring and show engagement. Hopefully we end up with \$5-10,000. Also we have 500 dollars to interfaith and belief initiatives. Senate: One of the major benefits of the way we have structured this you'll see a fund balance we were supposed to have. We want to move it towards

the amount of 100,000 dollars. You'll see \$108,000 in discretionary account that is rollover. It was a number that was thrown around and a lot went to chairs and things and this rollover will be a discretionary pot in senate general and can be allocated to other places in the org and will be done with a supplemental funding bill. If we decided we needed an entire department of athletics, senate deliberates and pulls out of this fund and goes into this. Therefore, we can play with it. No real changes in senate general side and Transfort will stay the same. College council funding initiative and we want to help them fund outreach and recruiting events with 1000 dollars over the course of the year. ASCSU came forward to SFRB on Monday with a fee increase request for mandatory obligations. Those totals came to 12,000 dollars. We are increasing full time students by 25 cents. We absorbed as much as we could. We moved Ram Ride to OCL and our fee goes down considerably, it results in decreases of fees. Effectively a 4 dollar decrease plus a 25 cent increase. The budget net is a 30,000 dollar deficit because usually no one spends all the money budgeted. We have no control over what the fee numbers are in PVS and are trying to figure it out and have 40,000 dollars income.

1- Whitesell: The overflow, if they don't use the total for a certain event, can they use that other places?

- Sydoriak: Yes

2- Maher: Why is it that the executive gets paid what they do while legislative does not?

- Albaugh: assistant directors don't get paid either and they are required the same amount for senators. And senators are voluntary vs hired.

3- Deep: When building payment amounts, we looked at other campus positions and what they got paid and they required the same amount hours and we matched that. We expect directors to do outside hours. This is the first administration that has matched the executive.

CONFIDENCE CLOCK: 0. 17.0

4- Bondi: Governmental affairs wanted to have 2 roundtables with city council and for the first one, they spent under 400 dollars and we wanted to give room to spend.

5- Sydoriak: \$500 from what we have gathered is going to police officers.

6- Miltenberger: You raised pay, how did you do that?  
Where did the money come from?

- Albaugh: There has been some plucking.
- Sydoriak: We found some areas where we didn't take part in anymore.
- Albaugh: Everything that was taken out and put somewhere else, it had a lot of thought put into it. We want to invest in everything in ASCSU and investing in people. It shows priorities and what you believe in.

7- Silver: why are the ones being paid over the summer the only ones being paid over the summer?

- Albaugh: They are the ones that will need to working over the summer but we are willing to entertain making expectations over the summer.
- Deep: Other departments are getting paid half of the normal stipends.

○ Jordan: I know we all have a lot to say. I think committee of a whole is the best way to do this. This removes the limits on speech and can make light changes. I move to move into committee of a whole.

- Vote: 14.3.0

○ Earle: I move to move out of committee of a whole.

- Vote: unanimous

○ Earle: I move to approve the amendments made in committee.  
(unanimous)

○ Jordan: Everything is great.

○ Seel: I ask for unanimous consent to change Deep's name to his actual name. Student: Deep Badeshi

○ Whitesell: I move to put it onto expedited status. 16.0.0

○ Vote: 17.0.0

#### XVI. Announcements

- Gallery: College of business is having business day and there are tickets available. There will be a speaker there and Joe Ellis, CEO of the broncos. They are free.

#### XVII. Roll Call

## XVIII. Adjournment