

Senate Minutes – Associated Students of Colorado State University
November 1st 2017, Senate Chambers, Lory Student Center
Clerk: Eddie Kendall

/Start of Minutes/ 6:30 PM

Consent Agenda, Gallery Input

Chair Brown: Is there any dissent to approving the consent agenda? Seeing none, the consent agenda is approved. Is there any gallery input? None, okay well then, we will move right into guest presentations.

Guest Presentations

Walker: I am a campus recruiter here to talk about teach for America. I am originally from Birmingham Alabama and went to college at the U of A there and was deeply involved in student government. After my experience there I was contemplating various roles I could enter that would give me skills I could use later on and have an impact immediately out of college. My colleague Erin passed out contact cards so if you are interested after this presentation please fill out one of these cards and pass them back to the middle. Teach for America's idea is that change starts with you. There is this issue in the United States called educational inequity, whereby your zip code determines the opportunities available to you and success later down the road. Understanding that this is a reality here in the United States, teach for America attempts to address this. As someone who invested in student government in their undergrad I wanted to present to you all to discuss how you can make that impact in communities in the United States. This opportunity will allow you to do that and to join a cause that is larger than yourself. The charge of our generation is the idea that opportunity begins with education. I challenge you to think back to when an educator made an impact in your life, we all have one of those people. In order to make change we aim to recruit people that are similarly passionate in making that impact. Inequitable systems stretch into each strata of our society and that is why we need people from all backgrounds that are passionate about teaching but also going into a variety of careers to enact change throughout their lives. We (1) recruit and train people to teach and lead in the classroom then to (2) go into whatever career they choose carrying forward that experience into whichever field they enter. Last year Frobes put forward their 30 under 30 list and over 10 of those were TFA alums. We are a selective process looking for top leaders of all backgrounds and accepted 15% of last cycles applicants. As a group we had 10 people from Colorado State last year. When involved in this experience, members gain a variety of skills and connections that allow them to be involved in systems change. The application process takes about an hour to apply and is a resume and 300 word statement of interest. After that you go onto an interview and would potentially be offered entry. Applications are currently open for seniors, however if you are not yet eligible we have a variety of opportunities such as internships and fellowships. We also offer workshops and a variety of resources so if you are interested in any of those please come to me or mention that on the contact card we passed out. You can contact me at walker.donaldson@tachforamerica.org.

Chair Brown: Any questions?

Gallery Member: What grade would you be in if you could do the summer internship?

Walker: So you can be part of the summer fellowship if you are a rising Junior or senior.

Senator Ray: If I was graduating next winter when would I look at starting?

Walker: If you graduate this school year you train June of 2018, but for you it would be 2019.

Chair Brown: Any other questions, if not if you could please give a round of applause! Any reports?

Chief of Staff Wise: January 20th is the Spring retreat for ASCSU that you are required to go to.

Chief Justice Anderson: The supreme court has been very busy we have 3 opinions, in Opinion 4706 requested by Senator Cheadle, that the new constitution supersedes old constitutions but does not nullify them to ensure ASCSU can rewrite and revise without negating past findings, in Opinion 4707 requested by Senator Cheadle, the court reached the consensus that the current constitution possesses the proper framework to revise the constitution requiring ratification through public election, in Opinion 4708 requested by Senator Cheadle, the court clarified the difference between voting and non-voting members. Non-voting members shall be members who cannot vote at all times and that voting members will only be members who may always vote, thus associate senators will be non-voting members ineligible for voting during the impeachment proceedings, that is all.

Chair Brown: If you have any questions I am sure Chief Justice Anderson could answer those, internal affairs?

Senator Cheadle: We addressed a resolution and bill, the bill needs a variety of edits so I am going to ask to hold that in committee to go over that.

Chair Brown: Any dissent to holding that? Seeing none we will hold that in committee.

Senator Martin: So today we talked about the legislation passed to my committee last week, this was resolution 4710, the emissions tracking, and after looking over it loved what we saw and found very little in terms of mistakes.

Senator Lindell: We talked about the bike cop bill and realized we had a couple of questions so we decided to hold it. We additionally looked at the new constitution and liked it so we decided to endorse it.

Senator Williams: Salvete omnes, mihi nomen est Gaius Regulus, et hoc est Senatus Romanus; quid novi est? (Shoutout to my Latin teacher) (L to Eng: Hello my name is Gaius Regulus, and this is the Roman Senate; what's new?) back to the seriousness, in the last couple weeks we had bill 4708 and 4711, 4708 being the occupancy study. We as a committee voted to endorse that legislation in addition the biggest change we made was adding a clause to address the question of the study and to pledge that ASCSU will allocate a minimum of \$5,000 with a potential to ramp up to \$10,000 if matched by the CSU administration to pledge \$20,000 total. So those were the amendments to that bill. In Bill 4711, Connor Cheadle decided to endorse instead of sponsoring, add a clause that CSU Marketing submit a marketing plan to ASCSU marketing and that unused funds return to ASCSU.

(Josh Chair Browns)

Chief Justice Anderson: The impeachment committee is working on scheduling interviews. A member of the committee has been removed for violating procedures. We ask that Senate appoint a new member as well as an alternate to stand in should someone not be able to attend.

Chair Brown: I want to reiterate, January 20th is our MANDATORY spring retreat. As a fun announcement, we have a new ASCSU Senate Adviser.

Adviser Wright: I am the director of campus activities here at CSU. Previously I served for 5 years as the Student Senate adviser and if you need anything please just let me know.

Chair Brown: if you are new this evening you need to be bringing a filled out new member form.

Senator Cheadle: Carthago delenda est (L to Eng: Carthage must be destroyed!-Cato the Elder)

Senator Williams: We will now move to ratification and swearing of new members. If any members needing to be sworn in could please come to the front of the room.

Chief Justice Anderson: Delivers oath of office to Senator Khan.

Senator Williams: Any BSOF members needing to be ratified?

Director Leonard: Here is Chief Justice Anderson who we would like to add to the Board.

Chief Justice Anderson: I mentioned a lot of this awhile ago but to reiterate, I sit on a lot of boards and really enjoy the level of impartiality I can bring to our work on this board.

Senator Williams: Questions?

Associate Senator Murray: Do you have times for this?

Chief Justice Anderson: Surprisingly yes.

Senator Williams: Any more questions? If none we will now do discussion and debate.

Senator Sullivan: I think she is a qualified candidate.

[Motion: To previous, by acclimation, passed]

[Vote: To approve the candidate, by secret ballot, candidate is approved]

Senator Williams: If you could please congratulate the new BSOF member.

Deputy Chief Justice Crowdis: (Delivers oath of office to Chief Justice Anderson)

Senator Williams: moving into impeachment committee, if we have more than two candidate we will vote and the person with the most amount of votes become the committee member and second most the alternate, unanimous consent? (Passed by consent)

Associate Senator Ash: Senator Cheadle, ineligible.

Senator Epperson: Senator Schmidt, Accept.

Associate Senator Ash: Senator Gardner, ineligible.

Senator Williams: Deputy Chief Justice Crowdis, Accept.

Senator Williams: Any more nominations? If none we will have Senator Schmidt present, if Deputy Chief Justice Crowdis could please leave the room.

Senator Schmidt: I can certainly talk loud enough for you to hear me. My name is Ken Schmidt Senator for ALVS. As you may know I am opinionated, however those opinions are not on allegations, on people, on bias, but on the cold hard facts of the situation which I keenly evaluate with a discerning eye. I was a cop for 3 years in Tucson Arizona enforcing the law, gathering facts, and sending that information for the court to render an opinion, with all the facts. This is something that I have been good at, and I am not afraid to dig deep, to ask hard questions of a person. I am more than capable and willing to serve in this capacity. Questions?

Senator Williams: Questions?

Associate Senator Sherif: Can you speak about your definition of impartiality?

Senator Schmidt: Impartiality is withholding judgement before you are able to evaluate all the information available.

Senator Williams: Any further questions for the candidate?

Deputy Chief Justice Crowdis: Through my experience on the Supreme Court I have a deep background understanding the background of members interacting with this committee and acting in an impartial matter to resolve this issue.

Senator Williams: Questions?

Senator Martin: What sets you apart?

Deputy Chief Justice Crowdis: My experience on the court lends me a unique experience being an impartial voice that well fits the nature of this committee.

Senator Kahn: Under pressure?

Deputy Chief Justice Crowdis: Yes I have been in situations such as appeals, pre admit hearings and AUHB which I sit on where I encounter very intense situations and maintain my impartiality in all my professional work.

Senator Williams: Discussion and debate.

Senator Martin: So I think Deputy Crowdis would be the perfect person to fill this position, in ASCSU history that branch has produced impartial leaders and to have one of these on this committee would be invaluable.

Senator Lindell: Someone already trained in viewpoint neutral manners is well fit for this position. I also want to point some attention to Ken Schmidt's bias in just wanting to get it over no matter what.

Senator Aubrey: Echo previous speakers in support of Crowdis.

[Motion: To previous question, by acclimation, passed]

Senator VanDenburgh: Point of personal privilege, can we change the fact that the second most will be an alternate?

Parliamentarian Vaishampayan: You would need to move to reconsider.

Chair Brown: But since we moved previous we would have to take the vote unless Senator Sullivan retracted the motion.

Senator Sullivan: I will retract it.

[**Vote:** To reconsider that the second most votes gets the alternate spot, by placard, passed]

Chair Brown: We will now be voting on only the person to be in the committee not the alternate.

[**Vote:** To select the impeachment committee member, Crowdis selected]

Senator Williams: If you could please see the candidates in. If a member of the judicial could please swear them in?

Associate Justice Force: (Delivers oath of office)

Chair Brown: We will now move into confidence business, no new business but a lot of old business so let's move into Bill 4708.

Director Johnson: I accept the committee changes.

Parliamentarian Vaishampayan: (Reads title of Bill 4708)

Chair Brown: We will now move into discussion.

Senator Sullivan: So as much as I want to see U+2 change, in the 4 years I have been here I don't think City of Fort Collins has any will to change U+2 regardless of the study so this will be a waste.

Senator Hart: To recall previous speakers, this is not just something that students want to change but also a variety of community members and the data will help us get a view on this issue.

Senator Aubrey: I think it is in the students' best interest to support this but I am concerned about non-compliant students.

Senator Henshaw: Referencing previous speakers, I recognize the concern of persecution however I think it's important to note the study will not conclude for 2-3 years and having the information will be more valuable than not having it so I urge a yes vote because it is vitally important.

Associate Senator Murray: We do need the data but I am concerned we are using student money in an effort that might come back to hurt them. I don't think the limits on the question is enough to protect our students.

Senator Lindell: besides fixing up Clark this is the biggest issue here at CSU and we have tried and failed and now we have an opportunity to do this, this is a very rare opportunity to take and the risks are actually much less than feared.

Senator Gessaman: The 2005 survey lead to a 2007 crackdown that might backfire on us.

Senator Cheadle: We have been fighting U+2 for many administrations, so U+2 is going to be an uphill battle for many years, but we have to start somewhere, this is a very smart place to start but there is not a lot of options. Like I said, we will not see the benefits ourselves, but to lay the groundwork is to plant the seeds for future generations.

Gallery Member: I am currently breaking this rule, I don't think spending fees is necessary.

Senator Rathburn: I know friends that are afraid of being kicked out and that having four people in four rooms makes sense so I would encourage a yes vote.

Senator Huber: To respond to other points, data does not lie, yes it can be fabricated and messed with but we have a good overview of how we will watch every single step in this study and I think if it does lead to a crackdown it is unfortunate but there is currently a law in place, even one I disagree with, it is a risk but we know many students now who are taking this risk regardless, even for good reasons. Having this study is an extremely good place to start, and if we don't start we won't ever finish.

Gallery Member: My name is Mike Watson, I used to be a member back in 2005 when it was three unrelated. I was there in the council meetings learning where it started and it is short and simple. It started as a piece of gentrification, produced so that developers could force out certain people from certain neighborhoods. For awhile it disappeared, but in the 2000's when another developer came in they found that these houses had been bought up and rented, but the developer talked to the city and was able to reinforce this law and use it to pressure people who lived in houses with attractive areas to be removed. The bottom line is, it exists because developers can come in and buy up land where they want to. The bottom line is, being part of this era, it opened our eyes to what it truly is. To take student money and to give it to something that is guaranteed to fail is a waste.

Senator Bunting: This is information, and data is important. If we even want a chance, we need to have evidence that people care about the situation. By funding this we work to help the community. Now maybe it will backfire, but we will at least have a foothold where one didn't exist.

Director Johnson: Regarding past studies the 2005 study goals were very different than this current study. Additionally the two questions are whether the occupancy ordinance affects affordability and quality of living.

Associate Senator Ash: If you could please look at the names up there on the bill you will understand that the people who worked on this worked hard and can be trusted to do their research.

Senator VanDenburgh: I think one of the problems is that our changes to the bill questions does not necessarily change the real questions considered in the occupancy study. We won't really have that much control over the study. I don't think this is the best use of our money.

Senator Gardner: I heard that it will be focusing on housing affordability and having real data on this will be helpful to us.

Senator Hart: We are a partner in this study and as such have influence. This is an independent study, and collects data that doesn't involve personal or neighborhood information as per the goals of the study. I urge a yes vote.

Senator Mounds: If strictly data what relation have to U+2 and what are next steps to move on to Me+3 or something else. Author

Director Johnson: Affects more than just students. It is the Senate body's job to decide how to spend or if to spend this money. Affordability is something to consider when voting.

Senator Vandenberg: to gallery

Clerk Kendall: I worked on issue for two years as resident and student. If given the opportunity to discuss this issue with City and University, take it. This is not something that comes all the time. This is a risk but if you don't take this risk, it may not come again. Emphasizes the work and judgement of those whom have worked on this for years. Yielded

Senator Vandenberg: We would be approving funding on a resolution and authorizing funding but the wording we would be voting on is not the one that the City has stated.

Director Johnson: So I wanted to reply to that, I sent out the amendment to Ginny Sawyer at the City of Fort Collins and the original language has already been submitted but Ginny did not say that including this would be a problem, in fact it goes forth to state our opinion on this. The input and investment from the University also shows that there is some buy in from the University lending their opinion that this is an important issue.

Senator Henshaw: Lending money will not allow us to have influence, because it is a study, but to not support this no matter the results, would be a loss since if we don't take this opportunity we cannot lay the ground work now. I would like to friendly amend this bill to make our funds dependent on acceptance of the language changes to the question statement in our bill.

Director Johnson: I will accept those amendments. To the bill. "The funds allocated in this bill only be given to the City if the City makes the changes to their proposed study to match the wording of this bill"

Chief of Staff Wise: While this can take up to two years I want people to remember the impacts this will have on the future students able to come here.

[Vote: Bill 4708, by roll call, passed 24-5-3]

Associate Senator Ash: I would motion to call Resolution 4706 to the floor.

Senator Lindell: We were holding this so Senator Schmidt could get answers to more questions.

Senator Schmidt: I would still like to hold this.

Associate Senator Ash: To answer Senator Schmidt's concern, you can see that if you look on the jobs website that they have no open positions currently open in the police department.

Senator Schmidt: Have you consulted with the police department on this?

Associate Senator Ash: I met with the representative of safety and traffic over there who is in charge of this area.

Senator Aubrey: I think this should get rolling as soon as possible.

Senator Lindell: Despite previous decision I think we can go ahead and bring this tonight.

[Motion: To discharge Resolution 4706, by placard, passed]

Parliamentarian Vaishampayan: (Reads title for Resolution 4706)

Chair Brown: Discussion and debate.

Senator Aubrey: This provides a good solution to a real problem and have heard this plenty of times from students.

Senator Schmidt: While I had previous concerns, I would like to support this and endorse it.

Associate Senator Ash: I will accept the endorsement.

Senator Huber: Having personally experienced this problem e.g. being nearly run over, adding a couple of enforcers would really help our community.

Senator VanDenburg: If you don't vote for this you have a death wish!

(Friendly amend to add Briedenback as endorser.

[Motion: To previous to take a vote on Resolution 4706, by placard, passed]

[Vote: To approve Resolution 4706, by roll call, 30-1-1, passed]

Chair Brown: We will now move to Bill 4711.

Parliamentarian Vaishampayan: (Reads title for Bill 4711)

Senator Aubrey: Can I put forth a friendly amendment to clarify that the money in the campaign will remain within the ASCSU marketing department who will work in coordination with You@CSU to market this program.

Senator Williams: So my understanding is that You@CSU would operate the plan but the money shall stay within ASCSU.

Senator Hart: That makes sense, but doesn't that change the meaning of the amendment? As in who is designing the plan?

Chair Brown: it is a friendly amendment by the author who has sole say to approve their own amendment. We will now move into discussion and debate.

Associate Senator Sherif: Friendly amend to endorse the bill.

Senator Aubrey: Accept.

Associate Senator Gluck: I think there is an error to how the amendment was written?

Chair Brown: Those were crossed out and removed if we can look back at it.

Parliamentarian Vaishampayan: Looking back at the revision history for Microsoft word it reads as above.

Associate Senator Badri: This is a wonderful bill that will help publicize this wonderful resource, friendly amend to endorse.

Senator Aubrey: I accept.

Senator Hart: I agree You@CSU is a great program but I would like to point out that this is not a program of ASCSU and this bills intention is to shore up their marketing deficiencies and as far as I am aware the

health network came to us solely because they cannot get on the plaza. It is my opinion that it is not our responsibility to give them funding specifically instead of the usual support we can give.

Associate Senator Ash: This organization is reaching out to us and I support this program.

Senator Aubrey: To clarify I reached out to them they didn't come to us. That said, we as a student organization have the ability to connect students in unique ways that they are unable to. In tabling we talked about different little handouts and things we can produce. It is not a deficiency but us offering more help on top of what they already have.

Senator Henshaw: Why can't CSU health table on the plaza?

Senator Aubrey: that is usually reserved for the student organizations.

Senator Hendrick: Friendly amend to fix my name.

Senator Aubrey: I accept.

Senator Huber: I saw the marketing campaign for You@CSU last year and it was really bad. I think with better marketing students of all class levels will be able to use this resource and having this and having us as student organization representing the student body helping them is a really good idea.

Senator Lindell: While it is not our responsibility to fund, it will help students.

[Motion: To previous, by acclimation, passed]

[Vote: To approve the bill, by roll call, passed 30-0-1]

Chair Brown: We will move into resolution 4708.

Parliamentarian Vaishampayan: (Reads title for 4708)

Chair Brown: Any discussion and debate?

Associate Senator Gluck: I do not know if a time limit is the best way to approach the substantive debate, maybe we can add an or clause to ensure the 5 minutes is us not simply waiting for the time to run out.

Senator Lindell: So I would argue that creating this restriction is a bit superfluous. While I believe we ought to ensure that everyone's voices are heard there are already existing mechanisms for that and so would urge a no vote.

Parliamentarian Vaishampayan: To clarify, if no one is on the speaker list we already go into the vote by previous anyways, so this doesn't necessarily mean we would have to wait 5 minutes, just that if people are talking, 5 minutes have to pass before previous can be called.

Senator VanDenburgh: I think this vote mistakes quality with quantity and especially with people who have already been vetted that we don't need to really ask questions this would create pressure to ask more questions when the issue is already settled so I would urge a no vote.

Senator Murray: Point of information are you talking about discussion and debate?

Senator VanDenburgh: I was referencing discussion and debate such as discussing BSOF members.

Senator Hart: So multiple points. I have been saying no to the constant motion to previous question. Senate by its nature is a deliberative body and we are here to vet and discuss issues, and if motion to previous overrides even one person that is already too much. To the point of repetitive questions we can already call that out by motion. If we can't talk for 5 minutes on a bill we aren't doing our job, I urge a yes vote.

Senator Huber: I do agree this might be the best choice out there. On the Senate session of the 18th I was on the speakers list every time for every discussion but because of previous question did not get to talk a single time and that really was a put down and I know others feel similarly.

Senator Aubrey: Since there is already a point of order for repetitious comments, it seems like there is not a lot of natural change this will be making, so we should make an agreement with the body to not be overruling people in that way but I don't think this is the solution this is over legislating.

Senator Murray: I have been open to change since the beginning. To my intent, 5 minutes is not that long, if we can't take the time to listen to 5 people discussing a bill, we must make the time.

Senator Martin: Point of order we are saying the same exact things over and over. Motion to previous question.

[**Motion:** To previous question, by consent, failed by dissent]

[**Motion:** Automatic previous question, by placard, passed]

Chair Brown: To reiterate, when we make a motion and required to vote, it can only be retracted until the vote is made.

Senator Khan: I would like to reconsider.

[**Motion:** To reconsider the previous question, by placard, fail]

Senator Hart: Motion to move to Uni Affairs committee.

Chair Brown: This gets discussion.

Senator Hart: There were amendments that were overrun and there are amendments to be made. To send this to committee is the best option to making it work than just outright killing this.

Senator VanDenburgh: I am strongly against this bill, and don't think this needs to be moved to committee. If it fails they should write a new bill.

Senator Gesseman: We need to prioritize being thorough, moving previous proves that Senate believes that we should move forward and that if they vote in favor they aren't really interested in what you have to say.

Senator Nordstrom: I know it has gone around and around and around but I know that there has been a lot of effort put into this bill. Just because you don't want to hear it doesn't mean it can't be said.

Senator Sullivan: I move previous.

[**Motion:** To previous question on voting to move it to committee, by placard, passed]

[Motion: To moving to University Issues committee, by placard, passed]

[Assignment: Resolution 4708 moved to University Issues committee]

Chair Brown: We will now move to Resolution 4710.

Parliamentarian Vaishampayan: (Reads title of Resolution 4710)

Chair Brown: Unanimous consent for the changes in committee? Yes, then the changes are adopted.

[Vote: To approve Res 4710, by roll call, passed by 23-0-8]

Chair Brown: We will now move to announcements.

Senator Castaneda: The Collegian article was inaccurate, Native American heritage month starts today. Friday is the fry bread sale here in the garden and Saturday is the Pow Wow. In the last two hours there was a shooting in a Thornton Walmart.

Associate Senator Murray: November 10, 16, 17, 18, 11, 12 the theater department is showing love and information tickets are online. Other than that come to Old Chicago tonight!

Erin Douglas: I am the EIC of the collegian, to address the mistakes, I apologize and we have put out a correction, if you still have questions please feel free to call or email.

Associate Senator Sherif: We do a lot of important work and represent many students. To do that we must nourish ourselves and take care of your mind body and soul. On behalf of MFBSC we will be showing the "Story of God" and doing a discussion on that.

Senator Aubrey: Hey everyone, go onto You@CSU and use their resources!

Associate Senator Badri: I got candy!

Senator Wang: SOGES is hosting a 5-6:30 lecture, can we measure sustainability, also the OCL office had a last minute change and will be volunteering Sunday as opposed to Saturday and need more volunteers so please come see me.

Parliamentarian Vaishampayan: So first of all, parliamentary procedure yeah! Usual announcements, placards are being produced, see me to put your email in, and more importantly a lot of people dressed up for Halloween if you dressed up if you could please stand up. It appears we have a PB and J, a Pikachu, a kangaroo, Fred from Scooby doo, a flamingo, a cheetah, a street fighter, a roman, a communist, a doctor, you appear to be dying which I am sorry for, harry potter, a cook, the 80's and some kind of byzantine. Delores Umbridge right here!

Chair Brown: I got a lot of female leaders from government, Underwood, who ever.

Parliamentarian Vaishampayan: I am corporate pharmaceuticals, the scariest and most menacing of you all, muhahahah!

Associate Senator Ash: As a reminder we are able to debate with passion but we are friends outside of this room, I look forward to continuing those relations no matter what we debate.

Senator Lindell: In line with my costume, “Let the ruling classes tremble at a Communistic revolution. The proletarians have nothing to lose but their chains. They have a world to win. Working men of all countries, Unite!”

Senator Huber: We are a body united and when we adjourn I hope we can continue to be really awesome friends.

Senator Williams: The MFBC is continuing its story of god series which was sent out through the front desk. It has been quite on the hat war front so I reignite that now.

Chair Brown: If I seem mean and scary with the gavel know I am not mad at you simply maintaining the professionalism of the body. Off of tonight, parliamentary procedure can be fun and a pain so if you want to learn more next Tuesday the 7th and 14th from 4-5 PM in the Senate I will be doing a workshop on that if you want to check that out! Next to last, Lance and I are setting up times to make him available to all of you.

/Senate Adjourns/ 9:00 PM

Hat War: Senator Williams: 2, Associate Senator Sheriff: 1