

Senate Minutes – Associated Students of Colorado State University

November 29<sup>th</sup> 2017, Senate Chambers, Lory Student Center

Clerk: Eddie Kendall

/Start of Minutes/ 6:31 PM

Chair: Any gallery input?

Dr, Syron: Can anyone tell me the first 10 ammendemnts to the constitution? How about the 3<sup>rd</sup>? Quartering of troops in your house is correct. To us today that sounds pretty normal. Can anyone tell me the 13-15<sup>th</sup> ammendments they are the civil war ammendments, the 16<sup>th</sup>? That one is income tax, funny story, kept getting vetoed by the court but the only check the legislative branch had was to pass an ammendment to change the constitutional. The 25<sup>th</sup> ammendment puts term limits on the presidency. Why am I telling you all this you might ask? It is because each and every ammendment has a history, a reason. I cant stay for the entire time so I have to say my point now, the Cheadle constitution, I don't think it gives that respect to history, to override that would be injustice so please consider that and do not completely change our constitution tonight, Connor is a smart ass guy.

Chair: Language.

Dr, Syron: Sorry, Connor is a smart guy, but consider the changes.

Chair: Any executive, judicial, committee reports?

Sen, Cheadle: We held the elections manager job description hearing that we are getting a rewrite. Ohh put it out, shit.

Chair: Language.

Sen, Cheadle: Ohh okay, well I'll ask the committee for unanimous consent to move it out.

Sen, Nordstrom: We talked about Connors constitution and went over the presentation with him.

Sen, Lindell: We looked at Sen, Fearn's bill.

Sen, Williams: So Par, I emailed the updated version of 4713 and can go through the changes on that. So the changes that were made were done before committee and basically all we did was add two clauses. All it does is to change it for procedural consistency.

Chair: Legislative officer reports?

Par,: So I kinda dropped the ball on placards but they will be done and other than that records site gets updated.

Spt, Yuval: I noticed there was a lot of people in the office even with the rough few weeks we had so I want to give you all a pat on the back.

Sen, Sullivan: We have lost a few senators over the last few weeks and I have been doing exit interviews with them, so if you have any new members please let me know.

Chair: I want to address concerns over these little forms, these were created in response to a meeting with CSU administration and advisers to ensure each student goes through its proper council. We received some concern from some Senators and councils that members had gone around that process and just showed up and got sworn in. Those people are no longer here and have chosen to resign. This enforces the part of our consitution requiring approval from the college councils. I also wanted to let you know I will be leaving early we had a family friend unexpectedly pass and I need to be with family.

Sen, Williams: MFBSC has partnered with KEY who is looking to film different religious holidays going on around. If you are interested in taking some students back with you to observe please let me know. If you are interested please let me know.

Chair: Ratification and swearing in of new members? If we could please have Mr. Wells and a member of the judicial branch.

Cj, Anderson: (Delivers Oath of office to Pres, Wells)

Pres, Wells: (INSERT SPEECH FROM MICHAEL)

"This first semester has been something I don't know how to feel about. This is the first time in my life where I am terrified by the uncertainty that lies ahead. And although I am scared, I maintain a dedication to this job and more importantly to the students and I will not stop giving my all to CSU and the Fort Collins Community.

I would be doing a disservice to all of you if I were not to talk about the struggles we have had this semester. Josh Silva is a person that I care deeply about, and I know in my heart is a caring person, a good student, and a great friend to me. I chose to run with him for a reason, and I hope to continue the path that both he and I believed in. This process lasted 12 weeks, and I know that no one was spared from its emotional effect. There was a lot of hurting going on that night, and I know many people walked away not knowing what to feel. I want you all to know that I am here to support every student on this campus, and we are all students first. I want the student body to know that they can and should hold me accountable to making CSU a place where everyone can truly feel happy. It is going to be tough, and I will not shy away from acknowledging that there needs to be a huge effort put into restoring the students, faculty, and staff's faith in ASCSU. This however, luckily, is not a load I need to bear myself. I remain confident in all of you in senate, please continue to listen to your constituents and make sure that the student voice is truly heard. I remain confident in our judicial branch, please continue to uphold policy and provide a bridge with conflict resolution. And I remain confident in our executive branch, please continue to display your passion and dedication to the students that I have witnessed since June. I do remain confident in ASCSU.

I want to reiterate a statement I made at that hearing – I truly do not believe that anyone in this room is an evil person. That message stands today. It is tough getting mixed up in a situation where we are pitted against each other, and undoubtedly there will be sides taken and tensions strained. However, this does not have to be the case. We must listen to each other. Show empathy towards others and have a compassion for new ideas. This does not mean that we cannot maintain our beliefs and the things that make us unique. We CAN keep our individuality as well as hold a compassion for one another. That compassion is what we need to hold for the student body as we push to the future.

Some of us have two jobs, some go through an extensive pre-med program, some do basically EVERY extracurricular ever. But we all joined ASCSU with the purpose and passion to serve the students, to give our ideas to the university, and to simply make college fun...(improv)"

Chair: We will now consider Pres, Wells VP nominee Cole Wise.

Vp, Candidate Wise: (INSERT SPEECH FROM COLE)

"Hello, my name is Cole Wise and I have served the past semester as the ASCSU Chief of Staff. To be quite honest, I struggled trying to figure out how to address this body and ASCSU as a whole during this time. The past weeks have been full of trials, road blocks and tribulations for all of us. One thing that we all share together in this room is that we were all affected by the impeachment in some way or another the past 12+ weeks. With that, I stand here before you all as President Wells' pick for Vice President, and I am humbled.

I came into this organization through the Board for Student Organization Funding and was excited to finally be a part of ASCSU. In the middle of my Junior year, I began to serve as Director of Governmental Affairs. I was encouraged to see a more welcoming office environment and a place that I wanted to work at. During that time, I made a promise to myself, to President Pineda-Soraca and Vice President Lensky that I would do my best always and that I would not stop until the job was completed. I feel that my time as Director of Governmental Affairs taught me a lot not only about the organization but about who I am as an individual. It also taught me that I wanted to do more in ASCSU, which led to my application for Chief of Staff.

Now, if Director of Governmental Affairs was a difficult position, nothing could have ever prepared me for what Chief of Staff would be. My first weeks in the new position served as a steep learning curve for me and I knew that my dedication to the position would have to be far greater than the year before. The experience of Chief of Staff can be described by an endless amount of words. Some of those words being frustrating, motivating, exhausting, rewarding, outstanding, and overall amazing. Serving as Chief of Staff has taught me to value the importance of every individual in ASCSU and to understand something important: We are all learning on the job. ASCSU is a learning environment, and I cannot promise any one of you that I will be perfect. What I can promise you, like I promised Presidents Pineda-Soraca and Silva as well as Vice President's Lensky and Wells, is that I will always do my best and I will not stop until the job is complete. These are troubling times in ASCSU. There is a lot of work that needs to be completed and a lot of rebuilding that needs to begin. I am dedicated to this organization, the people who serve in it, and the people we all serve for. As your Vice President, I will make sure that no voice is left unheard and that we all come together to work for the common good of all students at Colorado State University.

The Student Fee Review Board is a big job but perhaps the biggest job we have ahead of us is the rebuilding of this organization. I am well versed in the SFRB bylaws, the fee areas and the meaning student fees have on this campus. I am even more versed in the knowledge I can bring in terms of coming together to work as a collective team and organization. If the past few weeks has taught me anything at all, it is that we are much stronger together than we are apart. President Wells and I are determined to bring this organization out of this and keep doing the good work we are meant to do – that can only be achieved if we all work together. I stand here before you this evening humbled. Humbled by the fact that we have an opportunity to grow together and do something that will leave a lasting impact on this great University. "

Pres, Wells: I trust Cole wholeheartedly, in experience and character.

Chair: Questions?

Sen, Huber: So you mentioned you had a steep learning curve with chief of staff, can you handle that with your current schedule?

Vp, Candidate Wise: As I said it was really difficult at first but I gained the skills, having worked with Pres, Wells before and knowing his vision I think this will be a smooth transition.

Sen, Hart: So the Vp, has the basic SFRB job, do you want to do anything else with it?

Vp, Candidate Wise: I think we all have a duty to the students, I can chair SFRB all I want but until we are listening to students we are doing a disservice to this university I want to make sure I am always listening to my students and getting their views because that is who we are really working for.

Chair: Seeing no more questions if you could wait in the hall for a little bit. Discussion?

Sen, Hart: Having served on SFRB with Cole, if you don't know how it works the first semester we tour the fee areas and Cole always has great questions and the core job of Vp, is to chair SFRB and besides micahel he is the most qualified candidates I can think of.

Sen, Aubrey: Cos, Wise is one of the most upstanding individuals I know and it would be an honor to call him Vp,.

Sen, Williams: I have had the honor of knowing Cos, Wise since we went through the process of hiring Cole last year. He did a fantastic job with it and has done a phenomenal job. I wholeheartedly urge a yes vote.

Sen, Cheadle: He is the most amazing person and one of the best Vp,s we can have.

Sen, Huber: In all my interactions Cole has had a bounty of knowledge and interpersonally he seems to be fantastic as well, I think he is a really good candidate for this position.

[Motion: To previous question, by acclamation, passed]

[Vote: To approve Cos, Wise to be Vp,, by secret ballot, 26-0-2, approved]

Cj, Anderson: (Swears In Vp, Wise)

Chair: I understand we also have a candidate for Dr, of Health.

Dcos, Lakey: Hi, we are here today to present Jelena Durdic as the candidate fro Dr, of Health. We were really impressed by her qualifications and direction that she would bring to the position. So I will let her talk about her background.

Dr, Candidate Durdic: I am currently a junior here at CSU and am majoring in biology and minoring in biomedical engineering. I am really passionate about health and it really hits home for me and I am really excited to coordinate with partners to highlight the significance of health. I think that it should be a discussion all the time not only when our health is bad.

Chair: Any questions?

Sen, McCrackin: What specifically will you do during the start of the semester?

Dr, Candidate Durdic: One of the big events is body acceptance week, right now I am looking at how ASCSU can further our involvement in it and that is something I will be working on. My next big project after that is mental health week.

Sen, Williams: What made you apply for the position?

Dr, Candidate Durdic: Cole Wise served as a big influence coming to me and telling me the position was open and while I was nervous at first I really believe if it is something you are passionate about you will make time for it.

Chair: Any discussion or debate?

[Vote: To approve the Dr, of Health Candidate, by secret ballot, 27-0-1, passed]

Chair: We will now move into the golden gavel awards.

[Sen, Williams and Sen, Sheriff honored]

Sen, Aubrey: let the record reflect that I have nominated two golden gavels now, boom!

Chair: If we could open resolution 4712.

Par,: (Reads header for Res 4712)

Chair: If our authors could please come present.

Sen, Huber: So Nolan and I were kinda talking in the office about how we know who is in the office and sometimes it is posted on peoples doors, so it kinda just made it difficult to have meetings and such and thought it would just be a good idea to let people know when office hours were each week.

Sen, Bunting: Currently as we have it each branch is using a different form as we have it. This would come up with a unified way for how office hours are handled, that means we can put in where we actually are for all our office hours.

Sen, Huber: This wont be strictly enforced and its fine if you want to show up during shifts, nothing is really set in stone.

Chair: Q and A.

Sen, VanDenburgh: It seems like you say we done even agree on a format isn't this leaving it a lot up to chance?

Sen, Bunting: We are not sure yet which format should be used, the reason this is so we can start to have meetings to discuss this.

Sen, VanDenburgh: Does that mean it is always available to everyone so anyone can see when and where our office hours are?

Sen, Huber: I based this off of how pre-vet club puts together their office hours.

Sen, VanDenburgh: Is this for logging?

Sen, Huber: No this is just so that constituents can find you.

Sen, Sullivan: So I know the rules state office hours are already available am I correct? I also do wonder about the format, couldn't having one single form create excess questions?

Sen, Huber: Say we all use google calendar, it is really easy to see where we are?

Chair: So I think to help answer the first item is to put the office hours online so that they are available.

Sen, Bunting: Because our website doesn't have much on office hours maybe we could set up ways that that is available?

Sen, Aubrey: So to clarify this is to create some consistency?

Sen, Bunting: at least by now a lot of us have it set up right now to come up with some idea of when it is set up?

Sen, Aubrey: So the goal is to make it similar to the way the executive has solid office hours?

Sen, Huber: Yeah this would really help constituents see where I am.

Sen, Aubrey: So this will be done through the website?

Sen, Bunting: Yes.

Sen, McCrackin: I would assume this would be best placed on the website. I think this is a great idea and the website would be a great place to put it.

Sen, Williams: So this resolution would affect all three branches, but I only see authors from one branch do you intend on talking to them or have you?

Sen, Bunting: Well we haven't but I wanted to see how it went in Senate first.

Sen, Huber: We want to make sure we are fully collaborating with them and not forcing anything on them.

Assn, Sen, Morton: So if I understand correctly resolutions have no binding affect so is there a reason you chose a resolution as opposed to a binding bill.

Sen, Huber: Yes this was put together so that we aren't pushing anything and we can iron out details later.

Sen, VanDenburgh: I am a bit confused it sounded optional, but when someone else asked you used the word regulate, so what is this?

Sen, Bunting: The basic premise is, since there is no real way of knowing people office hours this will be a successful way of reporting peoples office hours, we are figuring it out it can be week by week or bi-weekly.

[Assignment: University Issues Committee]

Spt, Rosenthal: We will now move to Bill 4715 LSAB.

Par,: (Reads header for Bill 4715)

Dr, Johnson: I am the Dr, of Community Affairs, this idea for this board stemmed from this summer, I have been in ASCSU for 2 years now, learning my new role I found it odd that we did not have a means of consulting more people when making decisions. I thought that was problematic so the basic idea of this board was to have more order and ensure our communications are student issue focused and non-partisan.

Dr, Taylor: So this bill came out to include Senate more. We talked about setting priorities and how we can work together on legislation. At the moment right now you are kinda just trusting Hanna and myself to not do anything to wild, but there is no consensus on how we are supposed to be lobbying for you and that that is a formed opinion that represents you properly. This board will help us determine what we as ASCSU can decide. It kind of creates a subset of people you know who to go to to aggregate opinions.

Chair: So raise your hand if you know ASCSU has a lobbyist. I was stunned to discover we have a lobbyist to represent student interests. As a big policy nerd I was really excited when I was approached by Hanna and Taylor when I was approached by this. We seek to create a viewpoint diverse way of approaching student issues here. I hope you all had a chance to read through the bill as it has a lot of intricacies and feedback from as far away as CU Student Government to make this the best board possible.

Dr, Johnson: Another goal of this is to really bring the branches together and have a really cool opportunity to create bonding in the branches.

Dr, Taylor: We got to come together to discuss the big issues with a consistent policy of one unifying student voice.

Chair: The last thing I will say is that this needs to be expedited to be handled before break.

Spt, Rosenthal: Questions and answers.

Sen, Hart: You mentioned that it will be non-partisan how did you build that into this?

Dr, Johnson: We put into place some pretty strict guidelines if you go to Article 7, those are outlined and if it is not following the guidelines the judicial can help step in. (Reads guidelines from Article 7 of bill)

Dr, Taylor: There will also be 3 senate and 3 executive members to sit on this board and creating this will be a delicate balance. We thought about it getting political and there are several checks in place to limit that.

Dr, Johnson: It is specified a few times.

Chair: The inherent creation of this board will help involve more people and create more access and transparency to create a neutral viewpoint in the end.

Sen, Huber: So I am still a little confused on how you are going to eliminate bias. How is that mitigated.

Sen, Taylor: One it takes a supermajority to take a stance on anything, two they will be talking about issues that primarily deal with students, for instance on gun issues we often wouldn't touch it because it really might not be in the purview of the students in most situations, it is not to raise awareness around any particular issue. If theyre going to take a stance on something it will be on something a majority of the campus feels strongly about.

Sen, VanDenburgh: Why do you think a non-partisan approach is such an important thing? Sometimes they can be partisan but certainly do affect students, such as the tax bill and its affect on grad students. I am just getting a feel on how far that goes.

Dr, Johnson: That is up to the board to decide if it is a student issue and if they do reach a clear supermajority that shows the importance. If it can't be agreed on by the board then it might not be a widely held student belief.

Sen, Henshaw: I might have missed this in the bill, who is set up to chair this board.

Dr, Johnson: Whoever is in charge of governmental affairs would chair or co-chair such as myself and Anthony to give as much information as possible.

Sen, Henshaw: Are you guys planning on submitting changes to your job descriptions to reflect those changes?

Dr, Taylor: I can't really tie it to a specific job as it could in theory be handled by a few different people, it kinda is part of our job anyways through our current job descriptions but I don't see how it could hurt to codify that.

Chair: The Senate descriptions will reflect that.

[Motion: To expedite, by acclimation, passed]

Spt, Rosenthal: We will move into discussion and debate.

Sen, Williams: I really see a necessity for this board, last year when I was putting together the priorities for the last administration there was no consistent way to deal with this. Last year I think there were quite a few big things we should have been a part of that we were not a part of, that ASCSU did not have a presence in. This board would remedy that.

Sen, Aubrey: I did have the opportunity to sit down with Dr, Johnson and discuss this. The fact that we do have a lobbyist that we can use, I urge a yes vote.

Sen, Lindell: This is a very unique opportunity to do what we always ought to do, to give a better voice to stuednts, for those that doubt this bill, currently we do not have anything and this is much better than that disorder.

(Cole Wise closes door to conference room)

Sen, Huber: I am kinda a huge fan of this idea, it gives this organization a tangible effect on student benefits.

Sen, Fearn: I also think this could create some great cohesion between the branches.

[Vote: To approve vote 1, by roll call, 29-0-0 passed vote 1]

Chair: Before we move into the next bill we ask the committees to check the bill to make sure everything looks good, if we could move into Bill 4716.

Par,: (Reads header Bill 4716)

Chair: If the authors could please come present.

Sen, Cheadle: So in my presentation I worked hard to shave it down to what ASCSU would look like with this new constitution. This presentation goes through the document. This is the old preamble, it is long and annoying, this is the new one with some inspiration from the constitutional task force and other inspirations. I decided after consulting leadership, that it might be a neat idea to have an oath of truth, that second paragraph. So structural changes, I decided to put this here, I built out all of what ASCSU looks like. Terminology changes, I decided that it might be nice to have a consistent set of words to use, this is what it will be, ASCSU, everyone seated is an officer broken down by branch. As for senate changes it is put together bullet by bullet as opposed to paragraph format, I put this in here, I know we had a lot of difficulties with executive session so we also added a subparagraph closing executive session from general public and media. I also added this concept called Lex, We really should look at legislation that has the power of bills and resolutions combined in one. With executive I didn't change much to what they do, mostly grammatical fixes. I did add one power to the executive, that the president and vice-president does not need the Senate as long as it is done in collaboration with a Cos, or Dr,. I think this might be a fair way of allowing them to engage with us more easily and increase communication. I also clarified succession by putting in some subsections. For judicial changes, we added in the code of ethics, because it doesn't actually exist inside the constitution. I added in some workings for how that is edited. I also said the supreme court could also submit the legislation by itself so that they can interact more easily similar to the executive. Getting into the bigger changes, Article VI governs special committees. This includes IEC, LSAB, SFRB and BSOF. Article VII is impeachment, I rewrote it as instructions as opposed to the procedure which is really vague and has some conflicting issues. To define the nitty gritty we will have a standing set of bylaws for an investigation committee. Article VIII will cover elections and referendum, first thing I did was move the elections manager completely into the judicial branch. We also put in a speaker of the senate compromise that the speaker of the senate must have parliamentary background and must receive ratification from the Senate before running. We added an unbudgeted funds clause. A whole new article is Article X regarding records keeping, section 1001 is meeting clause, 1002 establishes out own human resources system, 1003 covers legal tender establishes which documents are valid, 1004 establishes a document compiling all documents having active affect on ASCSU. Finally we will have a transitional provisional government that will give us a legal gap to ensure everything is in line and cohesive.

Chair: Q and A.

Sen, Lindell: Section 1002 you had that vetted by general counsel?

Sen, Cheadle: I emailed them but they haven't gotten back to me.

Sen, VanDenburgh: With your understanding of history you would say you are not disrespecting the history re the concerns raised earlier.

Sen, Cheadle: In fact we made it cleaner and easier to digest for our constituents and ourselves.

Sen, Huber: Section 1002, states that all documents be dated, does that include year.

Sen, Cheadle: We could do that.

Sen, Cheadle: Yes.

Dr, Syron: Could you tell me the last 5 presidents?

Sen, Cheadle: My knowledge is on the constitution, have you even seen the 1908 constitution?

Sen, Sullivan: I worry that the speaker compromise might be restrictive and make it easier for some populations over others to enter and the idea that they must be approved, why is vetting important?

Sen, Cheadle: We cannot have anyone lead the Senate without any background in parliamentary procedure.

Sen, Sullivan: How would you respond to the restriction to a much smaller population?

Sen, Cheadle: Yes it is restrictive for a reason, we need a basic effective leadership level.

Sen, Sullivan: So we can't leave it to the selection of the student body?

Sen, Cheadle: We as a Senate also have a say in that.

Sen, Sullivan: It says documents may be requested, all of them?

Sen, Cheadle: That means all of them.

Sen, Sullivan: Would you be willing to consider these ideas as ammendments instead?

Sen, Cheadle: I'll say this the reason we are writing a whole new constitution is because we have whole blocks of text that do not make sense in the current constitution. The entire thing had to be restructured, sentences moved to places they made more sense. Amending the constitution is just totally impractical.

[Motion: To exhaust the speakers list, by acclimation, passed]

Sen, Bunting: I do want to ask if, potentially we could post this in a way constituents could read it?

Sen, Cheadle: Yeah if you have an idea on how you want to do that.

Chair: As a point it is ratified every year in the general election.

Assn, Sen, Morton: I want to thank you for writing this. Two things struck me, would you be willing to compromise on the Senate Speaker position? Do we get to choose who gets to be up for election and if that respects their autonomy. Did Yuval have any parli pro procedure?

Spt, Yuval: Not besides the senate.

Assn, Sen, Quesada: How can we really ascertain that, I think students should be able to vote 100% and not just what we want to see.

Sen, Cheadle: We could just take out that whole requirement and put it to a minority vote of the Senate.

Sen, Castaneda: Regarding Article 6, special committees, we as SDPS, our committee would not be allowed to appoint senators for the office?

Par,: So I worked with the original concept for this, originally we had the IEC to act as the college council for Senate, the idea behind it not appointing senators is because it is really done on the office level as

opposed to a college level. IEC is made of a collection of different offices so it really does not make sense for it to appoint for other offices they are not a part of.

Sen, Castaneda: Would you be interested in who can sit on these committees and to talk with the Directors so that we can discuss how that goes together.

Sen, Cheadle: Yes that's what's left from the previous.

Assn, Sen, Seiter: Magistrates, why that title?

Sen, Cheadle: It really just helps us distinguish what they are called.

[Assignment: To all four committees]

Par,: I want to clarify something, you can have only one motion on the floor changing one item without considering seniority.

Sen, Cheadle: I will communicate all the changes between the committees.

[Motion: To 5 minute recess, by acclimation, passed]

/Senate Recess/ 8:55 PM

/Senate Reconvenes/ 9:00 PM

Par,: First of all, we are 30 minutes from breaking confidence as you should know.

Spt, Rosenthal: We still have 4 pieces of legislation for old business. If we could pull Bill 4710.

Par,: (Reads header Bill 4710)

Sen, Cheadle: So I'll make this quick we just endorsed it, if you go to the job description we changed a few words and added a supervision chain. We took out some of the things that were covered by the Parliamentarian and added in working alongside the Spt,.

Par,: So this a small thing but could be important, you have the word ratification, are we voting on speaker of the Senate or not. This is at the end from the executive job descriptions.

Chair: That was copied and pasted from the other job descriptions.

Sen, Williams: In the constitution I think there is a 1/3 vote used for ratification?

Par,: I think the 1/3 vote refers to nominees in that constitution.

[Motion: To friendly amend a removal of this clause]

[Vote: To approve, by roll call, 27-0-1, passed]

Spt, Rosenthal: We will now move into Bill 4712.

Par,: (Reads header Bill 4712)

Sen, Cheadle: We removed that because that isn't legal, added that because that's how that should be, and changed to hours to be more realistic. We changed it to reflect judicial bylaws.

Spt, Rosenthal: Discussion and debate.

Sen, Aubrey: Par, just fixed it!

[Motion: remove adjournment before announcements, by acclamation, passed]

[Vote: To approve Bill 4712, by roll call, 20-0-8 passed]

Spt, Rosenthal: We will now move to Resolution 4711.

Par,: (Reads header of Res 4711)

Sen, Lindell: Everywhere it says ASCSU we changed it to ASCSU Senate and endorsed it, it's lovely.

Spt, Rosenthal: Discussion and debate?

Sen, Bunting: Is this late? It says 11-19<sup>th</sup> of November and today is the 29<sup>th</sup>... Would you continue this resolution for next administration?

Sen, Fearn: Sounds good.

Sen, Sherif: So this bill is great hope it goes on to next administration, this really impacts demographics I represent and move previous.

[Motion: To previous, acclamation, passed]

[Vote: To approve, by roll call, 27-0-1 passed]

Spt, Rosenthal: We will now move to bill 4713.

Sen, Williams: So it seems I am a little eager to showcase what our committee has done (laugh) we added BAC to the endorse and the author in collaboration with Pres, Wells added this whereas based on SFRB bylaws and reworded a therefore clause regarding section 815 to be more readable.

Spt, Rosenthal: Discussion and debate.

Sen, Bunting: Is that supposed to say monies?

Sen, Cheadle: this is all in the new constitution.

Sen, Henshaw: Friendly amend to add Pres, Wells to collaborated (Accepted)

[Vote: To approve, by roll call, 27-0-1, passed]

/End of Minutes/ 9:29 PM