**Associated Students of Colorado State University**

**Forty-Fourth Senate**

**Eighth Session**

**October 15th, 2014**

**Agenda**

1. Call to Order
2. Pledge
3. Roll Call
4. Guest Speakers
	* OLT Student Coordinators, Orientation Leader Positions
		1. Speaker 1: My name’s Nikki. We wanted to talk to you about the orientation leader application process.
		2. Speaker 2: My name is Lauren. We hire, train, and lead orientation leaders. Orientation had a positive impact on my life personally and I wanted to find a way to connect to students and this did this for me.
		3. Speaker 1: I learned the importance of finding your passion and following it. Being an orientation leader, you interact with 1st year students and families and parents. Having an orientation leader that was confident in themselves and in the school was comforting for me.
		4. Speaker 2: Part of the application process is attending one of the information meetings starting next Thursday, we want everyone in the student population to be represented so we encourage many people to become a leader.
		5. Speaker 1: If you have any questions, you can ask one of us or go to otp.colostate.edu and it will show you how to apply.
			1. Yearby: You are hiring for orientation leaders?
				1. Speaker 1: Yes we would train you for this and then supervise you.
			2. Christensen: Do you have to be here for the summer?
				1. Speaker 1: Yes you like in Braiden for 8 weeks and we pay for room and board and compensation as well.
5. Ratification & Swearing in of New Members
6. Executive Reports
	* Ricketts: We are a week away from the deadline for getting on an internal and external committee. We sent an email for those who are not on internal committees. We have all the times in the email and you can talk to me or Deanna with questions. We are working with external and there are 4 senators that are not sitting on an external committee. We are recruiting for board of organization funding. LSC room 376 at 5 o clock every Thursday.
		1. Yearby: Could you send out to college councils the different external boards and information on that?
			1. Ricketts: Yes we can send that out.
	* Wells: The diversity department will be holding inclusivity roundtable Monday October 27 from 6:30 to 8:30 in LSC 300. We will be holding an event for students of all different backgrounds to come together and discuss issues on campus and what they want to see changed, we would love for you to there but rsvp by Oct 22nd at 5pm. We want to make sure food is available and space.
	* Whitesell: We are gathering mental health quotes, if you could continue to do that, at my email or in the health mailbox. If you do collect those, make sure you have contact info so that we have their information so they can sign waivers. Next week is the visit from University of Wyoming. Part will tour health center and others will meet with Sam and other representatives if you are interested. It’s Thursday the 23rd from 2-4pm. Let me know if you are available. If there is any issues you want to talk about, let me know. We are looking into “I Care” campaign with our mental health committee. It came from a larger university initiative with someone in ASCSU and if any of you are that person, let me know (Lauren Wester).
	* Maher: It’s homecoming week. Campus clue was today. We have a lot more prizes left over and will be continuing the event on.
	* McArthur: We still need volunteers for fall clean up on Nov 1. We are doing cans around the oval. Turn them in by Oct 21. Bring them in to front desk. ASCSU is hosting body acceptance week and ending fat talk and skinny slamming. ASCSU’s main focus will help be on the plaza on Oct 20th. We will partner with some organizations and help them spread awareness and members of ASCSU to sign a pledge. I have a sign up for you. We will also give you a t-shirt.
7. Judicial Reports
8. Senator Reports
	* Bondi: It is my pleasure to make this announcement on behalf of the entire health network. There is going to be a new health network on campus. Hartshorn is going on 30-40 years old. We have the ability to talk about where this building will be placed and specifics. It will be on College and Prospect on the northwest corner. CSU owns that land. There will be an Around the Horn stop and a decent sized parking lot for off campus students as well. Two partners involved. University of Colorado health, UCH. They will open a general care clinic. They provide services to faculty and staff. Columbine health systems will help with research and be collaborative in that. We partnered with them so we can provide these services without dipping into student fees. It is planned on being lead gold or platinum certified. The architect has built a number of medical services before. They are looking at consolidating triage, doctors, and secondary specialties like women’s clinic, immunizations clinic and counseling network, and nutrition.
		1. Yearby: CU will have a spot for health?
			1. Bondi: Yes they have bought a number of medical buildings before.
			2. Whitesell: CU health is a huge health system and part of Poudre Valley health system. It’s not like it’s going to be part of CU Boulder’s.
		2. Yearby: Impact any student fees?
			1. Bondi: None, a portion will come out of the general fund that everyone already pays and then the partners are giving donations and then increasing revenue through these services that will pay for it. Director Hudgens is not anticipating this.
		3. Yearby: What buildings will be knocked down for that?
			1. Bondi: It’s going in that general area. It’s not specified.
		4. Williams: Is there a general timeline?
			1. Bondi: They are looking to have design aspects by January and break ground in the next year. Hopefully see it in the next 2-3 years.
		5. Laffey: Are there any plans on what to do with Hartshorn?
			1. Bondi: No not yet. There is a lot of land that might open up on campus, so who knows what will come of it.
	* Laffey: As vice chair of UFAB, our proposal gathering season in open. We have money to allocate for smaller projects like things in classrooms. If you know of anything that needs to be fixed, let me know and we can find a way to get that fixed or submit a process through UFAB.
	* Yearby: Again, I have been too busy to find these answers on my bill. I want to motion to bring bill 4406 to business so we can discuss this. (denied)
	* D: I’ve investigated to see what’s going on on campus. I asked about riots and when senate and where senate meets. What I found in diversity centers is that the majority of them did not know where senate met nor when they meet. They don’t know they can come and sit in. I found a disconnect of info. The riot bill; I wanted a primary source to tell me what happened. My primary source is not here but I will invite him here next week. We should hear what happened first.
	* Crites: Committee of teaching and learning met Monday and came up with some questions for me on my bill. My thought is if you are still interested in sponsoring, I can send what I have to you along with the questions I received. I’m interested in following through with the questions that have been made. I’d love to hear what you have to say.
	* Gurau: Tomorrow afternoon I will be in BSB holding a legislation writing session. ASCSU and CSU want an office hour database so they can get better clearer instructor’s office hours. No one showed up last time.
		1. D: Where and when?
		2. Gurau: 1pm in BSB tomorrow by the coffee shop.
9. Associate Senator Reports
10. Committee Reports
	* Internal Affairs
	* External Affairs
		1. Crites: We had a lot going on.
			1. Resolution #4406 Legislation Submission Process: we pretty much added that we endorsed it and added Rioux. We changed what we changed in senate last week. We added simple majority vote. We liked this bill and if there are any questions or someone sees something they don’t like, let me know.
				1. Bondi: Would it be okay with the committee if we added Yearby to the authors like we did last time?

Crites: Yes, go ahead and add it.

* + - 1. Resolution #4407 Rioting Not a CSU Tradition: we struggled with wording and didn’t want to step on anyone’s toes. We added a couple things. We put points 9 and 10 in there because they are related but didn’t want to step on student conduct services toes. We tried hard to not say that this is what will happen but tried to be factual. We added that this could potentially come in contact with student conduct services. We added stigma of actions because the actions of the students aren’t going to outlast their academic careers. We had some comments about how locals and nationals know about this. We changed a couple of words. We wanted to add in some things that we were supporting the relationship with Fort Collins and added things like how we provide money and people to aid in this community. ASCSU is in support of these things. ASCSU recognizes the disappointment of many students.
				1. D: I did take a poll of about 100 people. Most people say they are okay with parties but not okay with damage to street signs.
			2. Crites: We changed more grammar issues. We wanted to add some people that it got forwarded to that would benefit from having this info and requested more info on this.
				1. Yearby: “Many other” sounded kind of weird instead of just many students.
				2. Cites: “Other” means students not involved in the occasion.
			3. Bill #4406 The $10M Cap
				1. Li Puma: I sent this to CSU legal and they are hoping to give me a statement. I was able to talk to ACNS and they said this is fine as long as we don’t change the system and this can happen with no extra cost.
				2. Yearby: Do your other questions still need to be answered with that information?

Crites: The other questions we have on there have not changed. Elections are not based on when university fee review board come up with these numbers. If this survey can come out before they were made, we would have no numbers on this.

Yearby: Are you aware we get those numbers prior to voting?

Crites: We don’t know that for sure because there is nothing saying this has to be in before elections. Time frame wise, you can’t ask if they support things if they don’t know the information.

* + - * 1. Sydoriak: If we allowed SFRB to determine when this survey went out, would that make you more comfortable?

Crites: I think this would be more logistically appropriate. I don’t want to speak for my entire committee but if they came up with their survey at a different time without spring election.

Yearby: Wouldn’t that create cost since it will be separate?

Li Puma: I will look that up.

* + University Issues
		1. Gurau: We wrote and discussed a student voice survey that discusses the 4 options that could happen. There needs to be a student survey for students will be aware of this. There will also be a collegian article on it.
1. Confidence Business
	* Minutes
		1. Yearby: Are we going to put these on the website?
			1. Li Puma: It will be coming out soon.
		2. Gurau: I move to vote on all of these at once.
			1. Vote: 20.0.1
		3. 5.7.14
		4. 9.3.14
		5. 9.10.14
		6. 9.17.14
		7. 9.24.14
		8. 10.1.14
		9. 10.8.14
2. Old Business
	* Resolution #4406 Legislation Submission Process
		1. Laffey: I move to adopt resolution 4406.
		2. Bondi: I request consent to only read the title of the bill.
		3. Laffey: As there were only minor changes, I move to accept all of the amendments at once.
			1. Bondi: I see all amendments favorable and would urge a yes vote.
			2. Yearby: Could we quickly review these amendments?
			3. Vote: 21.0.0
		4. Yearby: this will creates a new way to get bills on the floor and creates incentive on non-members to get it to the floor. This opens it up for people who don’t want to deal with having to go to individual senators and allows a committee to get it first and for the bill to get voted on fast as well as this will be proofread first.
		5. Vote: 21.0.0
	* Resolution #4407 Rioting Not a CSU Tradition
		1. Bondi: I move to adopt 4407.
		2. Bondi: I request consent
		3. Yearby: This bill still sends the wrong message. This is condemning students that were there instead of recommending a way to help it. It doesn’t do anything. Only puts words on a paper. We are speaking for the student body without getting any input on this issue. If this was done properly, we would have gathered a more legitimate voice saying they support it. We should look at the campaign on how to change it. It’s more than too many people were there at the same time. It assumes that police did everything right and after talking to students, they think police did have responsibility on how things were taken care of. We are not acknowledging that side of student perspective.
		4. Sydoriak: I yield to the gallery.
		5. Guinn: why the police were called was because someone had alcohol poisoning and were unable to get into the house because students charged a female officer. She then got into her car and called for backup because there was riotous behavior. You should be mindful that maybe it’s not because there is not a lot of people but because the students needed help.
		6. Sydoriak: We have a false dichotomy saying that we are either against or for riots. ASCSU has a history of surrendering power to admin. This is an imbalance of power. We represent students and social solidarity. It’s not up to us to line up and agree with what the paper and admin and police say. By us not passing this, it doesn’t say we are for riots. It is saying that if you were at that party, you were a rioter and if you weren’t, you are a good kid. I will be voting no. I promise that if you vote no on this, it doesn’t mean you support riots.
		7. Crites: My interpretation of this bill is that this is more of a PR piece saying maybe you shouldn’t do these things. We all agreed to student conduct code to be here. I’m not saying everyone there were being rude. By getting out of the car and going to a riot is against the code of conduct.
		8. Yearby: Wouldn’t this be saying that police needed to be involved in code of conduct?
		9. Crites: If you sign it, you do it. This is a PR piece that discourages this behavior. Its saying this is not the way we want CSU to be represented. Nothing will directly result from this. This is just saying our formal stance is this.
		10. D: I would like to remind that while asking questions about how they felt, the general consensus was that they didn’t like people would rip out signs and be dangerous and my primary source told me the line of events: one police officer came by and he believes police were acting in order. He believes this situation was handled fairly well. As for the general attitude for these people, I asked about how people felt that attended vs the people throwing things. If you are at a party, that’s cool, if you throw signs, that’s crazy. I say that with this bill, we should try not to focus any implications on being at that party. It does say that if a police officer asks you to leave the scene, you should. It’s a social contract. This bill would reaffirm our beliefs.
		11. Williams: Should this not pass, I would like to see a replacement bill that would have better language. I talked to the Fort Collins governor and she would like to see a statement from ASCSU about these matters. I will also show this to the residence hall association.
		12. Gurau: One side says we shouldn’t blame the people who got caught in a bad situation. And the second is saying that everyone is deciding to riot. I think the writing is appropriate but there could be some amendments made that we do have the right to be there but we shouldn’t behave in rioting. This resolution is not complete but I’d like to see an amendment saying not everyone there is to blame.
		13. Nolan: I don’t think we have the correct amount of information to condemn this situation. I won’t be voting for this tonight because it’s not clear enough.
		14. Whitesell: I don’t have an opinion on the bill but I think it’s important to recognize the difference between civil disobedience and rioting. Those concepts can be separated from each other.
		15. Jordan: This doesn’t have to be the end of anything we do regarding riots. This is a PR piece saying ASCSU does not like riots. This talks about alleged crimes and additionally talks about the conduct process. We don’t know who rioted yet but if we did, they get punished. This just tells the community we don’t like riots and will do things to prevent them.
		16. Sydoriak: In regards to code of conduct, that is something we need to follow and I support the police but I just don’t want to condone certain people. Those who determine what rioting is are the people we would be agreeing with. It gets foggy in that sense. There are certain things that we might not want to conform to.
		17. Yearby: I think this bill is in the right place but it’s too much of a PR move and not an actual move, rioting is a problem but I would like to see us become educated on what rioting is and what happened. They said police stood there not knowing what to do and letting things happen. They have this idea that it’s us vs. them. Education and campaign are needed before this is passed, maybe having discussions on what the right way to handle things are. I don’t believe every student that was there violated code of conduct but that there were 5-10 people seeking attention. A vote for this doesn’t say anything will happen. It’s a pointless vote.
		18. D: I was wondering, what are some things you would like to see in this bill that makes this more appropriate? I would say let’s go out there and conduct a poll. See if they actually agree with us. What actions would you like to take in order for us to gain info for this bill?
		19. Bigham: I would like to throw my support behind this bill. You said police reports would be available to us?
			1. Sydoriak: You have to pay for them. It may take longer than two weeks
			2. Bigham: I believe that if police were acting not in good faith, we would be able to see that.
		20. Weinland: We are lucky no one got hurt this time. It’s only a matter of time before this happens if this continues.
		21. Ozekin: I want to express my backing of this bill, we are publicly stating that we do not support rioting and we aren’t saying anyone is guilty or who is guilty but just saying we do not support this behavior. We are going along with code of conduct that we are all bound to uphold by law. It’s a PR thing. This will serve as a stepping stone for a campaign. We have to first express our opinions on the matter.
		22. Laffey: I was at external committee meeting and support this and I move to adopt all amendments at once.
			1. Yearby: I was under the impression that old authors would be added?
				1. Laffey: I did some digging and so as an option to not put their names up as they would not want this, I have written amendments to fix this.
			2. Yearby: I think amendments made by the committee were good but didn’t go as far as id like them to go. It’s appropriate to make new amendments.
			3. Vote: 19.0.2
		23. Laffey: Seeing as I sent you the wrong amendment section, could I approach the floor with my computer?
		24. Laffey: I move to add the amendments as written.
			1. Laffey: The purpose of this was to clarify the writers of this bill. Considering we don’t know the status of whether they want their names as authors, this will give them recognition.
			2. Yearby: Isn’t the first time this was made was a bill?
				1. Li Puma: Yes.
				2. Yearby: This shows that this hasn’t done anything in the last 10 years. I don’t think anything will happen without adding a plan of action. Not saying this has to be a bill, the language shows that there is 10 years of this resolution not changing anything.
			3. Ozekin: This is a PR basis of all the further actions you are calling for. This is the way to get these things to happen. Times have changed in the last 10 years. They have become more frequent and more damaging. Rioting has become a serious problem and we need to address it. We need to first express our viewpoints and then start those programs you want.
			4. Hansen: Since this is already citing the old bill, why not just use that previous bill to jump off our campaign?
				1. Dugger: Wasn’t the way this was used back then only lasted about a year or so?
				2. Laffey: I’m not sure but I would want to abstain from answering that.
			5. Hansen: The technicality is not what I’m taking about. He said this can be a jumping point, why bother drafting legislation we already have and then take action and campaign?
			6. Laffey: I would like to tell senate stuff did happen because of this bill and it took time. At the very least, what did happen was that the party registration service was created. Things do happen but it may not happen while you’re a student, but things happen. What happens depends on what we pass and the comments we make on this body.
			7. Yearby: I think the main discussion is that this bill is a good move to say something and there is another side that says this bill won’t make anything happen. We need to gather the facts and make a direct statement to the issue. This incident is different that happened from last year and different and more direct info and direct attack would be more appropriate. If this bill said the tone of our departments would try to create some kind of campaign, it would be better. Yes this bill can be a jumping off point. I am articulating an opinion that we are putting words out there that don’t have weight.
			8. Vote: 17.0.4
		25. Weinland: I move for previous question (passed)
		26. Vote: 14.6.1
3. New Business
4. Announcements
	* Bigham: We are hosting a debate next Wednesday Oct 22nd. We will have a meet and greet at 6 and be there by 645. We will be taking questions beforehand. Clark a103.
		1. Laffey: How will this impact senate?
			1. Bigham: Bondi will come and ask us to return since this is our first priority if we don’t meet quorum. We think this is important because this is the only debate held between these two candidates. Senator Udall will be on campus soon, Monday. I can get you all info when it’s ironed out.
	* D: Sunday at 4pm at the Lincoln center there will be an Indian culture night.
	* Li Puma: Last October the board of governors adjusted their bylaws and made statement that ASCSU must be approved by them to amend our constitution.
		1. Whitesell: Does that mean they have veto power?
			1. Li Puma: Yes
		2. Yearby: When will this check happen? Is there a scheduled time they do that?
			1. Li Puma: This was found out about 3 hours ago. I have yet to read any procedural checks. We are trying to figure out what it is.
		3. Nolan: Did the last senate vote on this or just board of governors?
			1. Li Puma: Board of governors. We will continue as is and then send them to them and will be operating until we get veto.
		4. Hansen: What was their rationale?
			1. Li Puma: I don’t know.
			2. Hansen: Is there a way we as a body can appeal their decision? That is removal of autonomy.
				1. Li Puma: We will work on that.
		5. Jordan: Let me know if you need help.
		6. Bondi: Board of governors oversees the entire operation of CSU as a whole. Typically the conversations that come up are huge things for the way we function as a whole. Don’t be super concerned about it for the last 12-13 years, that’s the final stop for bills and they look over it and present them and then stamp it. They don’t poke around in it a whole lot. Their intention is to make sure that any decision made are in best interest of university.
		7. Laffey: Do you know why previous representative of board of governors didn’t inform us?
			1. Li Puma: No, the board all of a sudden dumped bylaws in 2010 and then finished in 2013.
		8. Yearby: When board of governors meet, is our president always there?
			1. Li Puma: Yes, she has exifisio status.
			2. Laffey: That entitles her to sit on almost every type of board of governors meeting besides executive.
			3. Li Puma: Previous presidents did do this.
		9. Sydoriak: Is board of governors minutes public?
			1. Li Puma: Yes.
	* Bigham: The voting opens on Monday and so go vote. You can register still with your student ID.
	* Earle: Green and gold book is done.
		1. Yearby: Are we approving changes?
			1. Earle: Bylaws say I’m in charge of it. I haven’t made any changes to the constitution on my own, I’ve just added in tips that I think will be useful.
5. Roll Call
6. Adjournment