**Associated Students of Colorado State University**

**Forty-Fourth Senate**

**Seventh Session**

**October 8th, 2014**

**Agenda**

1. Call to Order
2. Pledge
3. Roll Call
4. Guest Speakers
	* Johnny Roos: We had a great day today. We were out on the plaza for the Freshman 15. This has taken a negative thing talking about that 15 pounds you may or may not gain in your freshman year of college and so we changed it to 15 fun things that you can do to increase sustainability. We are revamping it. Some of the things included in this list are first and foremost, be yourself because frankly, everyone else is taken. Express yourself and get involved with a club or an organization. They say that those things are half of college. Also, stop shopping and consuming so much, do it yourself. Your mother loves DIY projects. Next, toss that self-pity out the window, live your message. Don’t listen to me, listen to your heart. Ask questions in class. Nerd out and be curious for life. It’s healthy. And curiosity is key to never feeling old.
		1. Li Puma: What is the next step?
			1. Roos: I’d like to engrain this in places on campus like the Gold Book. I’d like to get it on electronic signs and something light and fun that has social sustainability.
5. Ratification & Swearing in of New Members
	* Rioux Jordan, Deputy Chief Justice (Ratification)
	* Megan Jenni, Senator HHS (Swearing In)
	* Naomi Bombardier, Senator HHS (Swearing In)
	* Ram Leadership Team (Swearing In)
6. Executive Reports
	* Maher: Last time I talked about homecoming. I have more details in these packets with all of the information. There are sign-up sheets also going around. I’m asking you for help on Monday where we will be advertising on the plaza. Also on Thursday we will be doing “chalk the plaza”. Students can use that chalk to advertise. We will also have an area open to all students that aren’t in an organization that they can just draw in. I am not asking for help on Clue. We will be posting clues on social media at 9am Wednesday morning. This is open to ASCSU members as well. There will be a letter at each place in which you have to take a picture with each letter, where you will then take it to the ASCSU office and decipher the code. Some of the prizes include: 10 tickets to the UCA, gift cards to various locations, 50 dollars to the bookstore, and lunch with Tony Frank. This will be first come first serve. We are also hoping to grow our marketing input by having people like our social media. We are having a homecoming trophy your student org can win that will hang in the office.
	* Whitesell: A few representatives from University of Wyoming are coming down to tour our health network on October 23rd from 2-4. Another group will stay in the office and have discussions with them while we tour the health office. If you want to come to this, email me at Ascsu\_health@mail.colostate.edu. There is a university wide tobacco task force happening that will look at our current policy and see if there are any changes that need to be made. We did surveys in the past that we are using data for. Let me know if you have input. Our mental health committee has been meeting and we are doing an anti-stigma campaign. We are collecting quotes from students that have dealt with or deal with mental health issues. We will then put those quotes on some posters and the theme is that “you are not alone” and to humanize mental health issues. You can email me the quotes, put them in my mailbox, or at the front desk so it can be anonymous. We want them to include their age, major, and a first name which is optional. You can make up a first name if you’d like as well. If there is anyone who is really into that idea, we will do something similar in the spring. The sooner the better for quotes.
	* Wester: I have two things. 1) We are looking to get an ASCSU team together for the RHA trivia night. We need at least 4 people. Let me or Hugo now. 2) Pass Student Task Force, I am opening this up for another ASCSU member. The deadline is this Friday. The task force is parallel to the CSU admin pass committee which works on student academic success and will give perspective on gaps that are in CSU system and we will pass this info onto admin and improve academics and inspire excellence.
		1. Hansen: Is the task force just open to senators?
			1. Wester: No, anyone in ASCSU.
	* Guinn: I brought extra tickets for the debate if you want to go. If you have friends you want to bring, you can bring them as well.
	* Pasillas: Next Friday, October 17th is the ASCSU alumni mixer. Any alum in ASCSU are welcome. It will be in Longs Peak room from 6-8. Rsvp with the front desk and wear CSU gear. This will open up networking as well. We have over 40 alumni coming.
	* Wells: Relationship violence awareness month, 32% of students report dating violence in the past while 21% report violence in a current relationship. If you are looking for more info, look at WGAC. Laverne Cox tickets are still on sale. Drag show tickets will be available on the 20th.
	* Ricketts: The deadline for getting on external committees is two weeks out. We will be reaching out to you this week to make sure you get on one. TR 2-5 and Fri 3-4 are my office hours. MW 12-1 are Deanna’s.
		1. Olson: Campus bike advisory committee is looking for people. They meet once a month.
7. Judicial Reports
	* Lassek: We have two trainings coming up, sexual assault training one week from tomorrow and then our training with conduct services. Our bylaws were unanimously approved last week that regarded Rioux Jordan. I am sitting on the committee for the stadium, we will have formal report sent in by November 5th. If you want to be kept up to date, let me know.
		1. Li Puma: Would you be taking peoples’ input?
			1. Lassek: Yes. We will be in contact with Amy Parsons and if you have any questions you’d like me to pass on, I could. My email is zlassek93@gmail.com.
8. Senator Reports
	* Yearby: What is the status of my impeachment?
		1. Li Puma: This is not germane.
	* Watson: Last week I talked to you all about the spring music festival. Tomorrow from 11-2, I will be meeting with senators to talk about how this will affect you and to answer any questions. If you are interested in meeting me, look at that email I sent out through the front desk.
	* Laffey: I went to faculty council meeting with President Guinn. They are a lot like us. I would encourage you to reach out to faculty council and places that ASCSU should be talking to.
	* Bondi: Here are the updates for senators in each college: Nothing has changed in agricultural sciences, we have a new senators for Health and Human Sciences. We have another one we are ratifying next week. We have two more in that college that want to be in it but they are not in their college council due to a maximum amount of members. College of Business: I have a meeting with the president of dean’s leadership council, she is more than willing to be involved. She also said really good things about Senator Watson and says he is one of the most active senators she’s seen. Engineering is full. Intra-university is missing 1 individual. Liberal Arts is full. Natural Sciences is looking for 3; one individual is working with their college council right now. Natural resources needs 1 seat filled. They do have 1 associate senator represented. CVMBS is filled. Associate senators for most colleges are missing most of them. Grad school has 1 seat open. We have majority of representative seats still open. A number of them have returned but we need more.
	* Yearby: With what I received, with regards to the motion of my impeachment, it was made on grounds of student conduct. They are changing that to now saying that I violated amendment 3. I just found this out when I had a week to be notified and was just now notified. It also still isn’t specific to my wrong doing and I don’t think that is following the guidelines of the procedure.
	* Seel: I am looking to get an information group going for senate about computer services at CSU and for ASCSU to have general knowledge that could be helpful. For example, new things with blackboard and ram ride, etc. If anyone has info or would like to help me, we can talk after senate.
9. Associate Senator Reports
10. Committee Reports
	* Internal Affairs
		1. Lancto: Resolution #4404 Legislation Submission Deadline. We didn’t see any errors in the language of it.
		2. Lancto: Bill #4405 Diversity Bill is coming back to the floor tonight. Our committee didn’t want to make any changes.
		3. Lancto: The gold book, made sure we are getting that done.
	* External Affairs
		1. Crites: Bill #4406 The $10M Cap. I was sick on Monday and wasn’t able to attend. Are there any questions about these changes we talked about last time?
			1. Watson: The copy that was sent out had unclear language. At one point it talks about canvasing the plaza, there is just some grammatical areas that need changing.
			2. Yearby: I think that the grammatical changes and the other changes were great. I don’t understand why that committee has had the power to make myself and Jason find these answers. It is doing a disservice to the bill. I move to move this bill back into business from external committee.
				1. Discussion and Debate:

Yearby: It’s not fair for me to have to spend more time answering these questions. I am merely asking that before SFRB makes a decision, there is a survey that we use to get student input on fees. I don’t think I need to ask fee areas how they feel about that. I am a busy person. I don’t have time to do that. If we bring this back to the floor, we can debate these ourselves.

Crites: Taking what previous speaker said into consideration, we set a series of questions we wanted to be met. There were some things that the speaker agreed to do that the writer did not do, like that meeting they said they would go to and have minutes from it.

Sydoriak: I did go to the meeting and there wasn’t minutes to be had. It was really about how easy it was to make a survey. They give you a password to the school’s survey database and then you make the survey and send it to the editors and then they write the questions out and it does it. We have all the control as students. We can also include info that will talk about context. It will come down to who is making the survey.

Crites: I think there is some stuff that hasn’t been ironed out yet. I don’t feel comfortable voting on things that 1/3 of our senate isn’t comfortable with. This is still some questions regarding legality of referenda.

Yearby: It’s a survey so there is no matter of referenda.

Jordan: Our election code does not allow for a survey, but only a referenda.

Laffey: I call for previous question.

Vote: 22.1

Vote: 4.15

* + - 1. Yearby: Can we bring up and discuss those questions that are wanted though?
				1. Li Puma: Point of personal privilege does not fit that criteria.
		1. Teal: Senator Laffey did bring up resolution 4407 and we read that through once and discussed it.
	+ University Issues
		1. Dugger: Bill #4404 Legislative Constitutional Clarification. We added some endorsements, clarified which members have voting rights, clarified that any emergency senate sessions will only be for budget changes and are limited to winter and summer breaks. We changed the final portion of 214 and changed some typos.
			1. Crites: Did we not approve this bill next week?
				1. Dugger: We said there was too many errors needed to be fixed.
			2. Laffey: I didn’t see my name on the endorsements list.
				1. Li Puma: You cannot endorse and sponsor.
				2. Yearby: Why not?

Li Puma: Sponsorships mean you don’t necessarily not agree with the therefore clauses, but aren’t saying that they do and that they want to see it discussed. Endorsements mean that they do agree and want to talk about it.

Maher: I think this means that either I am a cat, or I am a dog and I like cats.

Yearby: If you endorse it, you want to see the bill to the floor and that you agree and the sponsors mean you want to see it on the floor. If you chose to put yourself as endorsements, you’re not helping.

1. Confidence Business
	* Minutes
		1. Li Puma: We will start approving them next week.
		2. Crites: Are we going to have a more consistent distribution of minutes and agendas?
			1. Li Puma: When the agenda gets sent out on a Monday, two committees meet after that. Minutes won’t be sent out to the listserv until we approve them.
2. Old Business
	* Dugger: I move to have the BSOF bill to be brought up right now.
		1. Vote: 23.0
	* Supplemental Funding Proposal #4403 AISES
		1. Brookes: They will bring their 33rd annual pow wow if you guys approve. This shows what some of the tribes do. October 31st and November 1st are the dates of this event. The first event will be on the plaza and they will promote their main event, on Saturday from 10am-10pm, with native songs.
		2. Speaker: It is kickoff for Native American heritage month, it’s a good way to get CSU and the Fort Collins community involved.
		3. Brookes: They are looking for just under 20,000. That’s the standard amount they have been asking for but with a slight increase in LSC fees. Some of the bigger expenses are coming from paying cultural advisors, drums, and people who are getting the event organized. Most of the money is coming for drum groups.
			1. Seel: for the drum groups, where do they come from?
				1. Speaker: They are some from the Northern area that are coming from Iowa, they are pretty well known and then the four corners area as well. Mostly Navaho for the southern area.
			2. D: What time would this event be held?
				1. Speaker: 10am to 10pm. Friday will be 11-1. Saturday will be in the main ballroom.
			3. Laffey: what was last year’s budget?
				1. Brookes: $19,250.
		4. Discussion and Debate:
			1. Jefri: I hope everyone will vote yes, they have awesome events and are very educational.
		5. Vote: 26. 0
	* Bill #4404 Legislative Constitutional Clarification
		1. Catron: I move to adopt bill 4404.
		2. Li Puma: I ask for consent to read the title. (no dissent)
			1. Jordan: I attended the committee, I fully endorse all the changes.
			2. Ozekin: Under endorsements, shouldn’t there be semicolons?
				1. Li Puma: Yes, I ask for unanimous consent to add these. (no dissent)
		3. Vote on amendments: 24.0.
		4. Vote: 25.0
		5. Seel: Would you want to wait for the gold book until the diversity bill is dealt with?
			1. Li Puma: We are just going to do online for now.
	* Resolution #4404 Legislation Submission Deadline
		1. Laffey: I move to adopt resolution 4404.
		2. Nolan: I move to a five minute recess.
			1. Vote: Unanimous
		3. Vote: 21.0
	* Bill #4405 Diversity Bill
		1. Yearby: I move to adopt bill 4405.
		2. Li Puma: I ask for consent to read just the title. (dissent)
		3. Yearby: There have been many misconceptions of the bill. When we talk about the centers, I use them in two ways. 1) Because the representatives in them are senators, I will regularly meet with RDS or different centers. I also used it to follow the model it has now where we pull representatives from these centers. This was used when they talk about the amount of representatives. People who sit on this council, do not have to be a part of the center. Some arguments against the bill represents the idea of tokenism where they are supposed to represent a specific population. This bill would seek to change that. This would add any students from any area with a passion for diversity. The main difference is that they will have a vote. The main charge that 43rd senate was trying to reconcile, is that they spend the time to be here but they can’t vote. Senate said the bill I made last year was too arbitrary. When speaking with leadership task force, I tried to create that council. Do we want representatives to have a vote? Do we want diversity to have a say in this body or not? We can create a council that represents different diversities that have a say or people that are passionate for diversity that have a say. I’m trying to create that.
		4. Catron: Considering Miltenberger’s resignation, I would like to remove him as a sponsor from the bill.
			1. King: We can’t strike sponsors. But we can strike the word senator.
			2. Yearby: I don’t think this is appropriate because he was a senator when he sponsored this.
			3. Li Puma: Since this does not interfere with the amount of sponsors needed, it isn’t necessary.
		5. Jordan: I worry about the legality of this bill. It is inconceivable that a university would insulate applicants. It is evident that admissions program uses specific racial classification. They are excluded…
			1. Yearby: This is not germane. It specifies passion for diversity not races.
			2. Jordan: If you scroll down to the second to last therefore, it calls for individuals from specific places.
				1. Yearby: It is the same as the current policy. We would have seats from specific places. This isn’t the intention, it is trying to count these people in.
		6. Crites: One thing I’ve been thinking about, is that I know who I represent: 750 students in my college. I am keeping it in mind when I vote. It can get dicey when we say we need 2 voting representatives from every group. I am concerned that the only criteria needed is that they like diversity. My concern is, who are they representing? What is the intent of these positions? I don’t know who they will be representing and making their decisions off of. This would change the way senate is run now. I want to know how this would change the way it currently is. If we have two mindsets, am I voting with them if I identify with them, am I voting on my college? Etc.
		7. King: Can you fix that “b”?
			1. Li Puma: I ask for unanimous consent to change the “b”. (no dissent)
		8. Yearby: I understand how the language of the last clause makes it seem how this bill is representing the centers and I get that but it isn’t saying you have to have a specific demographic characteristic. This is seeking to change that model to have students decide on what areas in the world are underrepresented. It focuses on those issues and gives a place where these voices are solidified. There are a lot of students that agree that this body could use more visible and invisible diversity. This will offer positions to those identities that these people have. There is a distinct croup of students that put diversity at the fore front and I would like ASCSU to do the same. Why do you want to be a senator representing a college? I want to focus on why we don’t have enough unisex bathrooms and immigration issues. It’s a focus problem and a disconnect because students don’t see their purpose in ASCSU. We can say we can expand upon that but that’s the issue. They don’t see how they can fit in. How can we find a new way to represent? The original bill said that we would make the representatives we have now, voters because they come every week and are in committees. If we don’t change this within this bill, I’d like to see this idea move forward.
		9. Catron: Over the last semester and a half we have focused on grammatical areas instead of looking at how to fix it so we can promote diversity. It would be possible to maybe increase senate seats. I think if we pass the bill we will open a floodgate of people who want to have a voice. There is a resolution that will change submission process so that any group can write legislation and bring to a committee. It is our role as senators to be diverse. We aren’t taking responsibility for our diversity on campus. We are looking at ourselves as the white people in the room, not all of our backgrounds that we have come from.
		10. Weinland: Diversity comes from within the colleges, not as some separate entity. As a university, we move forward by each college making decisions. I don’t think the best way to help diversity is to create a separate college. I think the problem would be better addressed in the colleges.
		11. Balster: The problem is the differences between college interests vs diversity interests. I perceived the diversity council as bringing awareness of these issues, I think this is the spirit of the bill. I believe there was a vote last year that said this is something senate wanted. There was a positive vote in that regard.
			1. Yearby: Wasn’t that vote unanimous?
				1. Li Puma: No it was not. I can give that info after I look at the minutes.
		12. Jefri: Diversity is important. The logistics of the bill is not right. The bill says the director of diversity should sit on this committee. By itself, it could cause a problem from now.
			1. Yearby: Wouldn’t the last therefore clause justify why the department head would be the chair?
			2. Jefri: We don’t know the bylaws of the constitution. Its open, we don’t know how they will be selected or how those members would be voting, we have no control over the bylaws of that info.
				1. Yearby: Are senate members always aware of how the senators are chosen or are they in control of the bylaws?

Li Puma: ASCSU does not have a say in how college councils pick senate. We do not have control of their bylaws.

* + - 1. Jefri: There is the general election where anyone can run and there is no way that someone who is not a part of this organization can run and fill these seats. There is a lot of great areas. And even though this would represent 40% of the population, we would still be able to trump these.
		1. Balster: If senators are elected by college councils, and if this is comprised of senators, these are people who were elected and went through the same process.
		2. Seel: I would urge at least continued deferment of this discussion. 3 areas: 1) representation of what diversity inclusion would mean, 2) what kind of power delegations would we go through and 3) the general way we want to promote diversity and inclusion in ASCSU. The bill that would be brought up as an alternative to this bill, would form a committee, while sponsored by ASCSU, that is open to anyone on campus where people could come together to have this discussion. Anyone can have the ability to have this discussion but it would be easier to provide a better starting point. Some concerns right now is what senate is willing to do on opening up positions for people focused on nonacademics. What is looking as an alternative, is the people on the committee can still bring bills to the floor and it would come from a knowledgeable base of expertise. The knowledge that these people have would bring a better understanding to these issues.
			1. Yearby: So the members of the committee, would those bills need to be sponsored by senators?
				1. Seel: It’s dependent on other bills but the sponsorship by this committee would be enough to be brought to the floor.
				2. Yearby: Would they be able to vote?

Seel: That’s one of the concerns of power struggle. This bill allows them to vote but the alternative suggests that the senators would be capable of taking this information and then making appropriate votes on them. I think waiting and seeing what other ideas come from this is the right thing to do.

* + 1. Laffey: I request to postpone this bill indefinitely.
			1. Yearby: Isn’t postponing the bill indefinitely kill the bill?
				1. Li Puma: It wouldn’t kill the bill, but we can bring bills of similar matter to the floor.
			2. Goode: Don’t jump into postponing stuff indefinitely right off the bat. I would recommend putting a deadline. But tabling it at maximum level.
			3. Seel: Can we table a bill in reference to another bill?
				1. Goode: Yes.
			4. Yearby: Tabling the bill indefinitely means that it will never be seen again. Seel was suggesting tabling the bill until looking at bills similar. Can I amend a proposal to postponing indefinitely?
				1. Goode: What I urge you to do is vote no on indefinitely and then take further action from there.
				2. Yearby: I urge a no vote on postponing indefinitely.
				3. Goode: Table means it’s tabled until the next meeting; that can be a special meeting or the next scheduled meeting. Postponing means we can look at it another time.
			5. Crites: I think the discussion we are having tonight before getting into this motion is good. I think if we are doing anything with this bill, I think we should continue this discussion before sending if off somewhere so we all know where to go from here.
			6. Catron: I want to acknowledge the author for the work he has put into this. There is an issue of diversity representation in this body. I hope we don’t get lost in the power struggle but that we decide as a body that we want to include all student voice. That makes the most sense.
			7. Laffey: My apologies to the senate. I withdraw my motion. I call for previous question.
				1. Vote: 23.0
				2. Vote: 0.24.0
		2. Carrillo: I encourage this bill to table it and look at all the flaws it has. I personally encourage this bill and thank Kwon for bringing me in to look at everything. Bringing in diversity would really make a difference in senate.
		3. Yearby: I want to thank previous speaker that acknowledged my hard work. I felt like this is what the task force wanted. It’s not visible diversity but others as well.
		4. Laffey: I move to postpone this bill for 3 weeks for Oct. 29th.
			1. Vote: 22. 0
1. New Business
	* Resolution #4407 Rioting Not a CSU Tradition
		1. D: I move to adopt resolution 4407.
		2. Laffey: This is a resolution that was passed 10 years ago at the first of the many riots that have followed. I did change a couple of things but the core idea is still there. We as students do not support rioting. We will work to prevent further instances.
			1. Yearby: Did you copy and paste the bill?
				1. Laffey: Yes with changes, the original authors were supposed to be included.

Li Puma: They were removed because they were not notified.

* + - 1. Yearby: Did you also go into the bill knowing facts of the situation or just repeating what it said before?
				1. Laffey: Students feel like in the past riot that police played a role in the writing as well as previous riots and how and why the author felt like ASCSU could make a stand on what happens with the riot.
				2. Yearby: How can you make a point from students that police did the right thing? Did you research this? Because in some situations it is as if the police do not play a helping role in the situation.

Laffey: Yes I did. According to the results I read and with witnesses, that is not how I understood it to be nor does this bill attempt to remove the fault of the police. This is for the sake that there was a riot that happened.

* + - * 1. Yearby: What riot is this regarding?

Laffey: This is regarding the last riot.

Yearby: This pertains to previous ones because of the title.

* + - 1. Watson: How old is this bill?
				1. Yearby: 10 years ago, 2 months and a couple days.
			2. Watson: Is this a public relations resolution?
				1. Yearby: Yes
			3. Watson: I somewhat agree with Kwon. However, the police are probably right in their actions. I do agree it is not a good tradition. We do need to have some kind of responsibility especially because I am planning the music festival and when things like this happen, it really pisses me off!
			4. Sydoriak: Do you know if at the time 10 years ago if it has accomplished anything?
				1. Laffey: I do not know since I have not spoken to the authors.
			5. King: What do you wish to accomplish?
				1. Laffey: It is more of a PR thing. It is meant to start communication with the members listed at the end. Students should have a say in it and the citizen review board as well. I want to start dialogue on this issue.
		1. Yearby: Obviously I think after Q & A, as much as I would love ASCSU to make a statement, this is not our statement but the statement of what happened 10 years ago. The words that were written were written for another occasion with different issues; previous riots. I was there this last time and I watched the events that happened. I watched the police let the events happen as if students are looking for that infamy. When the police pull up and just stand there, people are going to continue to do what they are doing. The people threw a bottle at them and nothing was done. The situation was out of control and didn’t see the…
			1. Catron: This is not germane.
			2. Yearby: I don’t feel comfortable with these words. They are short sided but they also need to condemn how the police handled the issue. I would like to create our own words and new perspectives. We do need a statement because it harms our reputation but these words are not the way to go about it.
		2. D: I would agree and advise to send it to a committee to work with words and make it more original. I do not see the criticism of how the police handled the situation is important to the bill. The bill is concerned about how students act. Words that came from 10 years ago speak adequately. I say that even though this bill could use some work, I think it is good.
		3. Sydoriak: I’ve got a problem with the language of the bill and the connotation it has. We are supporting hierarchical forces within the community. I don’t think we could represent the students who were at these riot with the way this is now. You are completely jumping on the side of the police and are not representing the population of CSU. I urge to vote against this.
		4. Crites: When everyone was admitted to this university, we agreed to the student handbook and it clearly states that you are represented in your eligibility to be on this university regardless of what happened after the police showed up. You signed this when you came here. People who go to CSU have already pledged they would never do this, you are jeopardizing the matter of you breaking the code on conduct. We have no rights to interpret what the police is doing. By not passing this, we would be negligent and poor at representing ASCSU as a whole and it is ridiculous. We hold ourselves to these standards for a reason.
		5. Williams: This bill is controversial and as I do represent RHA I would encourage a yes vote because it would show a solidarity with admin on campus and faculty. It would show solidarity with the greater Fort Collins community that puts up with us. If we don’t deal with this, it would lead to issues of them not wanting CSU students to throw events, etc.
		6. Laffey: There has been a lot of great debate. The bills I have done previously, I have not changed as much as I have done here.
			1. D: How could you remove them without a unanimous vote?
				1. Li Puma: It’s grammatical.
			2. Laffey: I originally placed the original authors on this list but Earle recommended the years of the senators who wrote it. With that under consideration, I left it in his hands because I did not understand that I had to get consent of these authors and will attempt to get in contact with these authors in the next week. I move to send this bill to university issues.
				1. Watson: Can’t we put a bill into place so we don’t do this every year? Let’s put a responsibility campaign on this.
				2. Yearby: there is still some good discussion that could be had. By sending this to a committee, we wouldn’t all be able to. I would like to vote against this motion.
				3. King: we should talk instead of sending this to a committee.
				4. Bondi: I agree with the last speakers. As long as we can keep this from being a circular argument, discussion and debate can be useful.
				5. Dugger: I know it’s pertinent to university issues, but we have two other bills to discuss. It would be cool to send to someone else.
				6. Laffey: I move to amend my motion to send this resolution to external affairs.

Laffey: I call for previous question.

Vote: 21.0

Laffey: I call for previous question.

Vote: 21.0

Vote: 14.6

* + Resolution #4406 Legislation Submission Process
		1. Catron: I move to adopt resolution 4406.
		2. Confidence clock expired.
			1. 2.16.
		3. Bondi: We had a conversation during internal about how to make it beneficial for senators to go through committees. Typically we can find out things that aren’t exactly right. This year we worked really hard on making sure that each committee was a 1/3 of senate.
		4. King: inside of committees, it requires the majority of committee to sponsor.
		5. Bondi: One thing I would like to point out in the first therefore clause, along with the aspect of having it sponsored by one committee, we are looking at it to be 3 and 3. If someone would be willing to make an amendment I would appreciate that.
			1. Dugger: this would still have 3 readings to reduce confusion beforehand?
				1. Bondi: Yes but it would also bring legislation here that we can only use expedited on emergency.

King: That is a vote to do this, not just from the committee, but with the committee sponsorship, it only requires majority not 2/3.

* + - 1. Yearby: With increasing number of sponsors needed and reducing the amount to get something on the floor, which creates a center. Where did you get that idea?
				1. Bondi: That was came up in internal. It was myself, Yearby, Golson, Zvonek and some others. Wasn’t it my word to say that this bill needed an incentive?

Yearby: Yes. Is this not my intellectual property?

Bondi: It was the aspect that when we walked away from there that we felt that I was going to be the author.

* + - 1. Crites: What happens if everyone on the committee wants to sponsor the bill individually and then the entire committee endorses it, do you still need to go find people who are not on this committee?
				1. Bondi: Because of the “or” clause it would delete that idea. The idea was that there will be enough of the sponsorship by the committee.
			2. Balster: Is this about legislation that is generated from senators?
				1. Bondi: Yes. Obviously you have to get senators to bring it to the floor or a petition to get 10% of the student population on a list. This can be partnered with a senator and then passed to committees. Internal committees are public.
			3. King: This would expedite the process for people who are not senators to get their bills to the floor.
			4. Balster: Can it go back to the committee that sponsored it?
				1. Bondi: Yes we aren’t saying it can’t.
			5. Dugger: Was this the bill you were alluding to earlier that could address the diversity issue?
				1. Bondi: Yes but not really, this isn’t the diversity bill that Seel is writing.
		1. Yearby: I move to amend the language from 2 senators and 2 representatives to say 3 senators and 3 additional representatives as well as add my name to the author.
			1. No dissent.
			2. Vote: 16.1
		2. Yearby: I put support to this bill. I think the idea is solid. Adding incentive to get your bill proofread a little faster will be beneficial. Because the bill is reviewed by the committee, it helps to speed up the process. Bills that can take too long will be made faster.
		3. Jordan: I like the idea of this bill and hopefully the judicial representative will sit on committees in years to come to reduce the questions.
		4. Laffey: I agree with the purpose of this bill. It is expertly written and will help take care of grammatical problems. I move to send this to internal committee.
			1. Li Puma: We will move to external committee.
1. Announcements
	* Balster: This Saturday, the adult learners and veteran services are having a carnival. We have free tickets that are good for corn maze and hayrides. Feel free to talk to me or swing by the office at any time.
	* Crites: I have been talking about this bill I’ve been working on that will create an advisor review process for academic advising so you can give feedback. Committee of teaching and learning wants to adopt this as a graduate process. They will look at that on Monday, I will have that for you not the next week but the week after.
	* Laffey: I’d like to announce and remind that recruitment is coming to CSU at UCA.
	* Yearby: My club united men of color is having annual 3 on 3 basketball tournament. 20 dollars for a team of 3 or 4. Could win up to 200 dollars.
	* Bondi: I have been approached by an individual who is someone that put together setting up LSC as polling place. He worked with ASCSU in attempt to get that up and maybe removing LSC as a polling place. He requested that ASCSU puts together legislation to keep this. If there is anyone who would be interested in helping me, let me know now.
		1. Bigham: I spoke with Angela Myers and told her these concerns because CSU has students who mess things up every year. She said that under her administration, CSU will remain as a polling location but something like this would be effective.
		2. Laffey: I would like to remind senate and let new senators know that she came and talked about this issue last year.
* Watson: 11-3 tomorrow I will be in the office taking about music festival
* Jordan: If anyone has evidence on impeachment, let me know.
1. Roll Call
2. Adjournment