**Associated Students of Colorado State University**

**Forty-Fourth Senate**

**Twenty Third Session**

**March 25, 2015**

**Agenda**

1. Call to Order
2. Pledge
3. Roll Call
4. Gallery Input
5. Consent Agenda
	* 3.11.2015 Minutes
		1. Laffey: I move to table the consent agenda as the minutes were not sent out with the agenda. I don’t have this in my email with the minutes.
			1. Vote: unanimous
6. Guest Speakers
	* Steve Hultin: I brought Fred here as well as our campus planner and landscape leader. Together, we will provide a tag team explanation of construction. These boards will help you understand the projects we are planning to do. These two maps will show us how to get around. This other map shows complexity in scheduling out work and it tells the strategies on how to tell how campus can be more functional. We have some temporary plans and more permanent ones as well. The big picture is around and back up and shows main campus and south campus. The future chem building is next to the future biology building. We are putting out some proposals soon and will start doing utility work and bid processes. The future chemistry building is next to newtons corner. They are across the street from BSB. The chem building is a state funded project and they don’t occur often and when they do, they are phased over several years. We are starting to design utility packages but won’t break ground till we have certain funding. You’ll have a new biology and chem building in the science quadrant. The stadium is now through the 50% design and development phase. We will break ground this summer on that parking lot and we will do utilities first and some will connect to CSU and some to the city utilities. We have Aggie village redevelopment and it’s pretty evident that site has been scrapped. It’s pretty exciting project for upper classmen res hall like laurel village but won’t be under the order of laurel village and there will be kitchens and renting areas and will open fall 2016. Down south, we are building surface parking lot and it’ll be called Research Boulevard. The discussions on campus about parking to continue the same permits but we are proposing a tier system to pay a premium for parking close to campus the forecast would show that tier c would be cheaper than the existing system. All other lots available are open to anybody. That will come this summer. Going toward the hospital, they aren’t fully funded yet but the donation of 40M dollars for institute of translational therapy. We have a lot of research translated into veterinary programs and we want to start to take it to humans. The future equine hospital will go up east to the tennis courts and won’t break ground till next summer. The medical center is across from taco bell. This is exciting because we will bring all services from Harsthorn and bring them into a new top class medical facility. There will be emergency treatment and bringing people in injured on campus to have therapy for recovery. This will become the signature building for that corner of campus. Parking structure, we will build another one on Pitkin and college and will have distributed parking plan. We think Moby might end up with a parking structure.
		1. Seel: Regarding aggie village, is the hope that it will offer subsidized housing below foco rent levels?
			1. Hultin: I can get that answer back but I don’t think it will be subsidized.
			2. Seel: For the research boulevard, are there concerns about traffic flow?
				1. Fred: Each project we do will have traffic impact study and will have a medical center parking and there are improvements that will be made with that but it won’t affect the level of service.
				2. Hultin: If we move more parking down here, it relieves pressure off of prospect and Center.
				3. Fred: Several parking garages are huge at this point. It’s an even distribution of bikes and cars and pedestrians and we are trying to even up accesses for each of them.
		2. Jordan: Looking at impacts on Lake Street, their plan for lake will include continued on street parking with bike lanes.
			1. Fred: They list this as an option in the central plan. Protected bike lanes or buffered bike lanes with removal of parking. It could be a long term solution to accommodate bikes.
		3. Gallery: About the parking garage on college, the stadium has gotten a lot of attention for the community. Has the community heard about this and have you gotten any input about the new things on college?
			1. Fred: We had comment on city staff about the college avenue center and the project will go through an advisory review and will have comments from members of the city. There is a lot of opportunity for public comment and it’s usually rigorous.
		4. Sogge: With Hartshorn, it’ll be emptied, what will you do with that building?
			1. Hultin: It hasn’t been defined yet. We have recommendations to use a swing space if there is crowding to move some people into that building or it can be torn down to make it greater.
		5. Nolan: When the stadium is being built, is Pitkin going to be closing?
			1. Fred: no it will be open through the duration. There may be periodic closures for part of it but it needs to remain open.
		6. Goldstone: The chem building, ground hasn’t been broken? What happens with the 12M dollars if you can’t break ground?
			1. Hultin: When we put in utility packages, the wires will go to other buildings as well and can create a redundant utility system. It will be used for something else.
		7. Purcella: does CSU plan to break into the medical field?
			1. Hultin: I’m not sure about that but considering the things you can study, the students who are pre-med aren’t recognized. We will not have a medical school.
			2. Fred: It will have greater engagement with Colorado health system. There is more research opportunities.
		8. Ozekin: I move to exhaust the speakers list.
		9. Hill: Was there a proposed time for the medical center?
			1. Fred: That’s a go. Funding is in place. The process would start in July-august to get city approvals and by the end of 2015, be ready to request proposals from a design team, maybe a year from now could be under construction.
			2. Hultin: UCH is making contributions to funding but it will retain lease rates.
			3. Hill: has there been any talk about the extension of counseling?
				1. Hultin: Yes it will be more expanded.
		10. King: There is a lot of plans for the future about parking, how do you intend evading those issues during construction?
			1. Fred: Across from the tennis court lot, there is 11 acres and we will take the millings from stadium and build a facility there and will look at a buffer capacity there. As we build structured parking we will displace 180 spaces. We are looking at a sea surf land for this. It will facilitate another 350 spaces but it will be dialed into what demand it will be.
			2. King: For all projects, what is the overall cost in the next few years?
				1. Hultin: We are pushing 700 million.
			3. King: How much will come from tuition increases?
				1. Hultin: I can’t answer that yet. Some don’t have tuition bearing costs but some might. All of them have a different paradigm.
		11. Laffey: How is the parking garage on college, how tall will it be?
			1. Fred: 4 stories but will be a little smaller than the one we have now. It’ll have about 670 spaces while lake has 850. The whole lot will be over 900. The height is similar but a little shorter.
		12. Laffey: Would you consider an office wrap on any others?
			1. Fred: Maybe in Moby is called for that and will give office space and PVH medical center could be in there. Because of the structural type, there is no savings in adding that component.
		13. Laffey: For Hartshorn, had you considered more parking there?
			1. Fred: Probably would not so we would like to encourage parking on exterior campus to discourage vehicular and pedestrian occurrences. We don’t want to load up the middle and have a lot of conflict and once you could park in front of a building, someone could get run over.
		14. Maher: Up until 1970, we had a building that burned down, have you considered bringing back that building in that location?
			1. Fred: there has been variations on that idea, maybe a clock tower that would be like Old Maid and there was some talk to have that featured in computer science but there hasn’t been any literal bringing back.
		15. Gallery: With the parking we have, are we having a net gain or a net loss of parking?
			1. Hultin: It’s about neutral. The existing spaces say we can do fine but building these two structures will take place for a loss. The garages could yield additional parking as it goes. We hope to reduce on campus vehicle traffic. It’s a balancing act that needs to play out.
			2. Fred: Around the Horn is now the 3rd most used route in the city of fort Collins. That potential to leverage that could happen.
		16. Block: With some buildings breaking ground this fall and summer, there will have to be adjustments for parking next fall. Is there any plan to let students know what adjustments will be made?
			1. Fred: There will be a notice to campus to kick this off and have a specific website. We will have social media announcements. We want to say what happens in the next 3 months on google maps that students can access is where can I park and how can I get there?
			2. Block: would it be possible through the end of this year to put a billboard in the parking lots?
			3. Hultin: That’s a great idea, we will do that.
	* Gino Campana, District 3 City Councilman: I have a couple of highlights of what’s happening in the city right now. Our council is made up of 6 members and a mayor. We have city election on April 7th. CSU had something to do with that, primarily the stadium. We had a project we started a year ago when we will go full transparency to look at all of our emails. Sometimes people will see me in a coffee shop and tell me something that I haven’t even read yet. When I’m sending emails, every email I type goes to the world. We just initiated a new program that was made up of chief of police and key members of city staff and two council members and it worked out well and wasn’t as direct as we wanted. Dr. Frank and a board of governor’s member will be at those meetings. My listening session where I listen to you guys. If you have questions, let me know.
		1. King: Through the agreement, it makes an advisory group, can you touch on how that will represent students?
			1. Campana: It won’t have a student representative on it as of right now. They will be interacting with students and fill our way through to see how it works.
			2. King: Do you think the council would be open to that?
				1. Campana: Yeah I don’t think we would have a problem with that at all.
		2. Gallery: For students, a lot of students are frustrated about the ‘you plus 2”, can we make any change or progress to that?
			1. Campana: I don’t hear about it all that often. I do hear about it about 3 times in the last 3 months. There’s ways around it and projects that can be approved. There is also zones within our city that you can have more than you plus 2. There is a layout of where those zones are to have students living there. I don’t see a lot of movement with doing away with that ordinance.
		3. Laffey: What do you see are the big issues facing the city in relation to the city or not in the next ten years?
			1. Campana: We have a futures committee and we look at 20 years or further out. What I am trying to push hard for is our build out. For the first time, we are taking that map and maximizing our districts to make about 250,000 people. We want to look at what we want to look like and feel like. Some will say we will lose what we have and some will say we don’t have to. We don’t have to lose a lot of things with more people. We took futures committee to our district as a listening session topic and once we decide what we want look like and feel like, decisions will be easier.
		4. Sydoriak: In regards to expansion of i25, what is your take on collaborating with CDOT and going forward with that?
			1. Campana: I think everyone wants the 3 lanes and it will be started very soon here and this was a topic that came up over and over in DC. Compared to other parts of the US, we don’t have a whole lot of problems here while some places are in gridlock. That didn’t really match and our funding source didn’t go with it. What you might see happen is patronizing dollars going out because of being taxed again.
		5. Campana: I get invited to take part in conversations with white house reps once a month and I’m on a conference call and VP Joe Biden gets on the phone and we had a conversation about Its On Us. Has CSU taken the pledge? I brought this up in one of our meetings. This will try to eliminate sexual assault on campuses and he gave it a hard push this year. It’s about if we see someone that is visibly intoxicated, we step in, or if we see an uncomfortable situation, we step in. This is getting traction around the US and he asked if we are an It’s On Us university. While I was in DC, we talked about becoming the first It’s On Us City. April 12th is the kickoff and that we want to bring it up that our fire department is getting behind it and PSD is getting behind it and we could be the first city in the nation in it.
	* Sara Williams, Executive Director of RamRide
7. Ratification & Swearing in of New Members
8. Executive Reports
	* Wester: we have the middle schoolers that come every year and that is on April 14th and we need 20 ASCSU volunteers and we need you to sign up at the front desk and it’ll be all day. I am giving press releases to the Collegian, let me know if there is anything you want passed along.
	* Block: For Mackenzie, she wants you to know there will be a mental health booth from 10-3 and the anti-stigma campaign starts Monday. Tomorrow night the To Write Love on Her Arms founder will be here at 7 in the LSC Theater. My update is, I did a presentation on the STARS system about sustainability. CSU is the first ever platinum rated university in the world. We have been going back and forth with the first and second place. I believe there is about 500 universities and we are the top.
	* Maher: As you know, I talked about forever green. We as a department looked at the things that got sent in, per request of the registrar’s office, we need to narrow to the top 3. We found three were 2 that should make that voting. And we disagreed on the third. I would like to enact a straw poll with three options to be the third. May Day looks good. Engineering and Natural Sciences need to get back to me
9. Judicial Reports
10. Senator Reports
	* Laffey: UFAB report, we are nearing the end of our project proposal and we have a veterinary laboratory down the quad and furniture for Eddy to review tomorrow. After that, we begin voting. We have roughly 500,000 dollars to spend and we will get a good chunk taken care of.
11. Associate Senator Reports
	* Williams: RHA elections. We had our general elections this Monday and we elected the new presidents. The Ram Pride and Sprit coordinator is still being elected.
12. Confidence Business
13. Committee Reports
	* Internal Affairs
		1. Seel: Our minutes got sent out recently and the updates we have on Sergeant at Arms Hansen’s bill. The only changes we had were grammatical and for everyone’s benefit, when making bills, make sure to cite Senator of Agricultural Sciences, not Agriculture. For the clarification of what it was talking about, we combined the whereas clauses and combined the subject matter. We only added another grammatical word at the bottom. Last senate we talked about getting that parking bill. That bill was somewhat finalized before Monday and then we realized it wasn’t ready and I ask that we can bring it up to new business. We have to have this out as soon as possible. We want it heard so the student voice can be given. We had the facilities discussion today and we have to have the accessibility discussion.
	* External Affairs
		1. Purcella: We support Resolution 4419 and discussed Sam Laffey’s bill and decided not to support it.
	* University Issues
		1. Ozekin: We were going to have Steven Day come and talk to us but he is coming this Friday instead. The bylaws are in the process of being sent to legal. We had senator Laffey’s resolution about the non-tenured tract faculty and we sponsored that.
14. Old Business
	* Resolution 4419 – Reestablishment of Ramride
		1. Sogge: I move to adopt Resolution 4419.
		2. Gallery: I am barred from retaliation and am speaking on behalf of my staff members and am a 3rd year member. First, some history that Ram Ride started as a student run program about students using their own cars and own phones. Today it is not a student run program and is run out of an administrative power. When the MRU was found, the hope was to make it a third party. We ended up moving into Off campus life to administer legal protection. MOU went to the floor. After it went to the senate floor, wording in the bill went from advisor to supervisor and it became an issue in a private meeting. What was not told is that we are dipping 7000 dollars into our emergency budget. Where does that money come from? 5 dollars from Student fees. If we are dipping into a surplus, why is this happening? SFRB needs to take a better provision of student fees. The people that decide who the director of ram ride is, is OCL. At no time do you as a student ratify that individual. It means that whoever is in power at that time, they can instill whatever opinion they want and the student run tradition is not around. I encourage you to kill this bill tonight. I am prideful of myself when I see these rides. It has been here for you. I have probably trained you and have given money to your student involvement. Destroy this bill and give it back into ASCSU. I will likely lose my job for this but I am willing to put myself out there.
		3. Jordan: I move amendment 1. This amendment removes the requirement a specific dollar amount and allows SFRB to use their discretion and find a different number depending on all of what SFRB.
			1. Vote: 14.0.1
		4. Sydoriak: I watched this unfold in the last week or two. We need to pause and take a step back and looks at this and we need to digest it and discuss it. We need to see that there isn’t confidence in ram ride. There is no way we should pass this tonight if we don’t have settling.
		5. King: With the issues that have been brought up tonight, there should be some investigation of what has happened tonight.
		6. Gallery: I have different recordings and information about how money was spent and different things to these extents. I can send that information out. There has been more ethical considerations. I am speaking for the rest of the staff. All except for one member decided to go for a strike. For the fact that today, we find ourselves in this position, different reports I have received is being put on probation to not talk to her friend again. Some members have had their web history and email looked through.
		7. Laffey: I am very troubled. I cannot express how troubled I am by these allegations. After seeing the ram ride budget, I do recall a 7000 dip in the reserve that we were unaware about.
		8. Williams: Senator Laffey addressed this, we are not trying to hide we dipped into the reserve fund. We did go 7000 dollars into the reserve, it’s at 30,000 dollars and we estimated we would. We did attempt to explain this for increased charges about Motor Pool. We did have that almost exact amount in our motor pool charges. That happened because with our increase in ridership and volunteers, we have been running more cars and driving more miles. That accounts for that extra charge. We do pay mileage. For the 11000 dollars was because there were charges associated with the dispatch system that didn’t come through until that year. If anyone has any more questions. I would be more than happy to answer them.
		9. Balster: I must have missed the first presentation of this bill. In light of our gallery members, I encourage a no vote because I don’t think we have fully seen this. It’s our job as senate to listen to student voice and we have a responsibility to investigate and not vote hastily.
		10. Hill: In addition to previous speakers, I appreciate the argument as far as funding. ASCSU is really proud of this program, if that is having an impact of having a different supervisor, and harms it. We need to talk to both parties and talk to them and bring in mediation possibly. We have a responsibility and doing it in a respectful manner.
		11. Silver: How would keeping ram ride in ASCSU help solve this issues?
			1. Hallden: It was started with the student voice within ASCSU and when it got bigger, it became its own department. That’s why the budgets have been accurate is because there is someone who directly did that work. Now it’s in the hands of someone in OCL and if you ask Sam Guinn if they have received the budget, no one received the budget when asked. Every single staff member would originally have to be voted in by ASCSU and I have ratified previous directors. It made sure the student voice was heard in a student ran program. That’s unethical in itself. The executive position being able to overthrow the legislative position. That’s not student run, that’s faculty and administrator run.
			2. Williams: I wanted to talk about the processes about hiring the directors. Ortega has nothing with the decision of the executive director, more in supportive capacity. The director has to go through several interviews, with the ram ride staff, a committee with ASCSU representatives.
			3. Hallden: on the MOU, Chelsea Green, never signed that. The individuals who signed it is Blanche Hughes, Nigel Daniels, Mike Ellis, and others but the director of ram ride never signed it. If you read the MOU, it outlines that the individuals in charge of hiring are the Jeannie Ortega, and current director.
		12. Maher: That number is disheartening to me in a short period of time. I know there are things that happen but it worries me that there is so much spending and I want to pull it back and examine it more. We need to look at the spending.
		13. Seel: I motion to send this to internal affairs and a presentation at the soonest time to senate from SFRB dealing with this topic.
			1. Bondi: Senator Seel, could you announce when they meet.
				1. Seel: Thursday at 9am here in the office.
			2. Sydoriak: Does a member of the gallery feel comfortable with this?
				1. Hallden: I know I am going to lose my job. Even though I have researched these laws that protect me from this. My fear is that it has been a two on one or a three on one. I will come to the committee.
			3. King: I feel that with an issue like this should go through some sort of mitigation through legal services instead and would like to see this voted down until there is a legal involved.
			4. Laffey: Student legal services will not touch this. This is messy and Ram Ride is represented by CSU’s employers. That’s not something they could touch. I would like to see an investigation in this. I want to table it.
			5. Hallden: I went to legal services first. I was told I won’t receive the job because of my spelling and I am dyslexic and saw it as discriminatory and they said they won’t fight themselves. I attempted that already.
			6. Vote: 14.5.0
	* Resolution 4422 – Veteran’s Stoles
		1. Jordan: I move to accept the amendments made by the committee.
			1. Hansen: As the author, I have no problems with these.
			2. Vote: 18.0.0
		2. Hansen: I move for previous question.
			1. Vote: 11.4.3
		3. Vote: 16.0.0
15. New Business
	* Seel: I move to bring resolution 4423 to the agenda.
		1. Seel: I know there has been comments about not bringing bills outside of the agenda. Things are a bit hectic coming out of spring break and upholding our position of representing the body, I ask we look at this.
		2. Balster: I would like to echo previous speaker and don’t think I’ve heard of an issue more than parking.
		3. Maher: Is there any way we can get this sent to us now? I like to have it on the agenda to read through it on my own. If that’s not possible, I’m just one guy.
		4. Nolan: We saw a copy of this on Monday. The copy we saw on Monday we did not want to put our name on it.
		5. Laffey: As one of the authors, I would like to point out that if we don’t talk about it tonight, it will be almost impossible to get our student voice in. We don’t have the time. We need to talk about this tonight.
		6. Maher: It was brought to our attention that this bill has changed radically, have you seen these changes?
			1. Laffey: Yes, as the primary writer. The edits made still have the core concepts and re-worded them and simplified them.
			2. Maher: Why do we have committee speaker saying they don’t feel comfortable sponsoring it?
			3. Seel: I’m assuming the original reason external didn’t approve it is because it had two things saying a negative tone and it was just saying bad things about the parts we don’t like. We changed it to a productive tone and provides solutions to the problem and points of sections pertaining to students.
			4. Nolan: There was a lot of angry wording that we weren’t comfortable with. I can’t throw my voice behind anything because I haven’t seen it.
		7. 16.0.2
	* Resolution 4420 – Supporting the Expansion of Study Abroad
		1. Laffey: I move to adopt resolution 4420.
		2. Laffey: This was born out of 2 things. Last year a history professor put on a study abroad to Israel. I thought about going to this but decided I needed other opportunities and this year I became very aware that Israel is a great country and there are many places that CSU does not support in studying abroad.
			1. Hill: What would this look like? Israel is a contentious issue. What would this facilitate? What is the program focused on?
				1. Laffey: Dr. Lindsay’s profession is about the history of Israel. The goal for this is far above my pay grade and needs to be a progress that is worked with but my goal is just to get students into Israel and in the Middle East and many other Middle Eastern countries are not fully stable enough to have students over there.
			2. Jefri: Where are you getting the information about the Middle East? Half of what you said is not true.
			3. Balster: Is there any precedent about expanding other study abroad opportunities?
				1. Laffey: Not to my knowledge, I’d have to check. This is my own envision. They can have facilitation between various contacts through programs of international studies.
			4. Maher: For this trip, there has been a possibility of making this an opportunity to perform their pilgrimage/mission to Israel?
				1. Gallery: A lot of kids are motivated to go to Israel. I don’t believe this is the intention. It could be an option probably.
			5. Gallery: I think regardless of the politics based on what you think of Israel, what Sam said is public information.
			6. Ozekin: this is an invention of your own desires and not representative of what the faculty wants?
				1. Laffey: This is my invention and members of the Jewish community.
				2. Ozekin: there are other minorities on campus that have this right?
				3. Laffey: This does not specify for a certain minority. This has nothing to do with any religion or ethnic group. It’s not intended to single out a particular religion.
		3. Jordan: This bill does not discourage studying abroad anywhere, just encourages Israel. They are one of our biggest allies. This bill is important. We should have more options in Israel.
		4. Silver: I feel that Israel is not just for those of the Jewish population and opens the door for Christians and has historic places in the country that is not just geared towards the Jewish religion and a lot of students can benefit from going to the country for historical reasons. There are also many Muslim people living there. If we expand our program, it would be a great opportunity for CSU.
		5. Gallery: If you have been to Israel, it is not just a place for Jewish people and a huge population is Muslim. It is not favored to one side or minority. It expands your views towards other cultures as well and the cities are heavily cultured with other cultures and I think anyone would agree with me on this that has been there. Israel, regardless of what you think, people are pioneering to visit and be a part of this in student abroad. It has a ton of startups and its beneficial to anyone. I do know people who are not Jewish who did support this bill and would very much enjoy this.
		6. LI Puma: I send this to internal affairs.
	* Resolution 4421 – Discussion About Non-Tenure Track Faculty
		1. Laffey: I move to adopt resolution 4421.
		2. Laffey: this resolution came out of the idea that in two of my classes, my friends asked for a letter of recommendation from faculty members and tried to shy me away from getting this and saying its far better to have someone not tenured tract faculty. I have become more involved with faculty council and I met Jennifer Aberle and she gave a presentation on this issue and I thought this was worth having a discussion about this. The non-tenured are paid less and have little job security, little rights in regards to parking and its not a good place. It’s a discussion that needs to be had.
			1. Jordan: Why could you take the option to build a task force?
				1. Laffey: I did not consider that. It’s typically only going to work if they have members from this organization. It’s hard to get others into these discussions.
			2. Jordan: how did you want this discussion to occur?
				1. Laffey: I want all the stakeholders in one room with open forums and I’m working to get representation to get students involved in the discussion.
			3. Balster: With your involvement on faculty councils, are they comprised from the colleges, or are there good relationships with the non-tenured tract?
				1. Laffey: There is representatives from every department on campus and from colleges that have adjunct councils and non-tenured tract councils. The only two that don’t is Natural Resources and Agricultural Sciences. The chair and vice chair are ex officio members.
		3. Li Puma: I send this to external affairs.
	* Resolution 4423 – The Students and Faculty of CSU Want to Park On Campus
		1. Sogge: I move to adopt resolution 4423.
		2. Seel: We wanted to address issues for the students that will have a detrimental effect and some specifics talked about doing 24/7 year round parking. You would have to buy an evening permit. They backed off of that and we want to formalize a lot of these opinions for students who might want to come here for the evening. It would make someone reconsider someone wanting to come to campus. Campus is a resource for students. We are having a crunch moment where it will promote resources for students to utilize but we would lose so much for parking. As the university is moving forward, they would slow down and let alternative transportation catch up. We brought up having RDS having a specific role in this discussion saying they will have handicapped spaces in the center of campus. Moving a whole fraction down to having one spot for every four students. I feel it’s important to have this message conveyed. I’m sorry I have to ask for expedited status. They need plans a month ahead of their meeting and need it in a week in a half. We have to deal with this so rushedly. I would love to hear your discussion and make it expedited first and then make amendments.
		3. Laffey: We have committee as a whole.
		4. Lee: This is to express student concern. This suggests alternatives and solutions to problems that are concerned.
		5. Laffey: The reason it was so negative to start out is that I conducted a lot of student feedback and I didn’t find one person that didn’t like what was going on. Some responses I got are not something you would want to print out.
			1. Maher: One of the therefore clauses, speaks about lake street option. Can you elaborate on that?
				1. Seel: Lake Street is one of the main avenues that construction will run along. We want to keep it open and be safe and facilitate traffic movement.
				2. Maher: The alternative transportation is not adequate. Where is that not adequate coming from?
				3. Seel: There are a lot of projects the university has in terms of not being developed yet. The infrastructure is not there yet. And some bus routes don’t go long enough and we would look to extending bus hours to 10pm. That’s one of the primary concerns.
			2. Reinhart: Why do students like subsidized costs for night?
				1. Laffey: They are moving away from it. They are still discussing it for future.
				2. Seel: We have to be practical and say they have to generate money. Prices are always going to be rising but we need to be sure it doesn’t harm in accessibility. Eventually we might have to find a more sustainable option. They are also thinking about including a weekend pass.
			3. Balster: I have a regular pass. If they charge overnight parking. I’ll have to pay an additional cost to park close. Otherwise it’ll be a safety concern.
				1. Seel: That was one of those considerations put into the therefore clause and whether or not there will be a tiered system.
		6. Bondi: I move to start the confidence clock for 30 minutes: unanimous
		7. Sogge: I love this one. It’s very important and comprehensive. So many groups on campus that considered themselves disabled are feeling neglected and ignored by ASCSU and parking about how they feel they can’t travel to and from places. In terms of previous speakers question about how the transportation system isn’t adequate. Some can’t walk a lot of places. Parking close to campus is not an option, it’s a necessity. I support putting this on expedited status.
		8. Seel: I motion to put this on expedited status.
			1. Reinhart: I think we should. It’s our last opportunity and we want to show that ASCSU is in support of this.
			2. Vote: 17.0.1
		9. Hansen: I have spoken to many from ALVS and I will tell you that they are not kind of upset about the plan, they are pissed and I fielded questions today and they laughed at the plan. I wholeheartedly endorse this.
		10. King: I think this bill does not go far enough to represent RDS and ALVS and prospective students who want to come visit. I motion to move into committee as a whole.
		11. Hansen: I object.
			1. Vote: 8.6.
			2. Vote: 6. 11.
		12. Maher: I would agree with a lot of things in this bill but there needs to be a lot more in this. There can be more therefore and whereas clauses. A lot of us think this can be a lot stronger. We need to pass it now as it is. The one thing has been suggested is to call an emergency session. All of the things previous speaker brought up need to be included and when the presenters talked about it, need to be added like things that the buses don’t run late enough
		13. Jordan: To previous speakers concerns, not having enough time having to do it now, the majority of senate can make it to university issues on Friday. I move to senate to have an emergency session on Friday at 12pm.
			1. Seel: The issue right now is the whereas clauses. They are clarifications about the situation is happening. The point is to have opinions and the clarifications can be delivered outside of it. An emergency senate session has a lot of issues that could be better served by voting on this bill tonight. Those clarifications can be added as an addendum as clarifications and specificities.
			2. Maher: Everyone who cares about it passionately can send it in and be reviewed by the writers and it is compiled together then we can use the emergency session to vote on it in session.
			3. Bondi: I echo previous speaker.
			4. King: All of these things we have talked about could have been taken care of in committee as a whole.
			5. Hill: I echo previous speaker. We need to put specific issues in the bill. I understand the frustration in this room but as we are representing students and we need to do it because it is important.
			6. Laffey: When we get to executive session, anything is on the table.
			7. Silver: I agree with previous speakers that we should have an emergency session.
			8. Ozekin: I motion to move into committee as a whole.
				1. Vote: 6.3.6
		14. Bondi: As a committee as a whole we have proposed this in an amendment and move to accept these amendments.
			1. Vote: 17.1.0
		15. Bondi: I move to previous question with stipulation that any grammatical errors can be fixed by the parliamentarian.
		16. Vote: 16.0.0
16. Announcements
17. Roll Call
18. Adjournment