**Associated Students of Colorado State University**

**Forty-Sixth Senate**

**Eleventh Session**

**October 26th, 2016**

**Agenda**

1. Call to Order
2. Pledge
3. Roll Call
4. Gallery Input
* I have a letter addressed to ASCSU. Dear Senate, as a student that until this semester lived in the dorms here on campus, I am truly disturbed to hear that the current plan for parking on Saturdays during the football games next session. Considering that students pay $476 for their parking privileges and that the smaller dorms don’t have enough parking spaces for the students that live there. My first semester I lived at Parmelee hall and if I didn’t get back to the dorm in time I had to park at Moby. The parking situation for the stadium should not be solved at the expense of having our current students losing their parking space that they paid for. I urge you to stand up for your student body. With an athletics department that is begging the ASCSU to influence the student body to attend games, taking away the parking of the students they want to attend the games is the last thing the athletic department should be doing. An alternate solution is to have the season ticket holders and the Tail Gate crowd park at the outlaying parking lots and run a shuttle service to the stadium. This will also reduce traffic constraints on the interior campus roads, thus reducing the chance of a Vehicle Vs Pedestrian collision. Please consider these factors as you vote on this bill.
1. Consent Agenda
	* Minutes 10/19/16 meeting
		1. Consent agenda has been approved
2. Guest Speakers
	* SLiCE - Alternate Breaks
		1. I came to talk about campus step up. This is a retreat that happens at the end of winter break. I came to see if anyone would like to attend. This conference is going to be about social justice and is an important issue. There will be more information.
	* University Advancement
		1. Last time we talked about re-park in a global aspect and will get into more details tonight. If we look at 2500 spaces, this leaves for 2150 spaces that is not spoken for certain areas. On a daily basis, on Saturday, 51-53% of the spaces are empty. If we take that basis, and talk about if cars were to move, the Westfall lot would absorb 350 additional spaces. This would leave 550 spaces for outside housing and dining. The new parking structure and new parking surface would cover the remaining spaces. Under this scenario, all of the spaces would be on campus. Is this unprecedented? As a mandate, yes but has not been unseen. With higher incoming classes and less spaces, people and faculty have been asked to try and take other forms of transportation to accommodate for these areas. With that we will go into the why? These 850 spaces are within the bullseye for proximity parking for premium seats. This is not uncommon and is seen throughout other universities. These do not come from student’s fees but from other funding. What is being asked is a re-park of these folks of the university to account for these other areas. With that, we will talk a little bit about safety. This is a campus that we are proud of. The last three reporting years, we have only had four assaults that were from acquaintances. We have a very safe campus. We are asking of a re-park of on campus. We have taking consideration of the different modes of transportation and there are very different modes and paths that are coming to the game. A lot of emphasis has been put on other modes, especially bicycles. We are well positioned for a platinum level ranking with bicycles. I have been looking forward to speaking about our alumni and donors. Private support of funding has been a critical component of how we have been able to support Colorado State University. We received $100 million from the state last year and we received over $200 million from donors and private supports. Without these individuals, it would be very difficult to make Colorado State University to run. You start here as a ram and is a continuum as you become an alum. We want to get alumni engaged on campus. One of the greatest engagement opportunities we have is athletics. This is a great opportunity to bring alums back to campus and supporting the institution. Many of these donors, they start out as a small donor with a ticket holder, small donations, and others. Most of our large donors are through academics. Michael and Iris Smith had a connection with athletics and is what brought him back. After further conversations, they made a 13 million dollar gift that was to several different academic areas. 4000 scholarships annually are funded through private funded scholarships. This continues to go up each year. This helps to pay that tuition for students. This is a very real impact that started with athletics that transforms to academics. Dozens of our world class faculty are funded through private gifts. This helps keep faculty at our institution and keeps that world class education. If we didn’t have private support, the tuition increases would be more exponential. I can’t thank you enough as students for what you do for facilities. Our donors have also chipped in to help facilities and transform this campus to make it the best that it can be for the students. When you go to the career center, our donors and alums have a higher tendency to hire CSU students than others. I want to wrap this up and get to some questions. When you all graduate, we will be coming to talk to you to help support as alums. Do not think as these folks as strangers but these people are our family. Will you make space for them to come back home and share in the excitement of this campus?
		2. Questions
			1. Senator Pyfrom: For those 850 students, was there thought for any voucher for those games?
				1. That definitely has been brought up and is something that is being considered. It is on the table and if that is something you think is valuable we will move forward with that.
			2. Senator Hughes: Have you thought about the impact of outside funding without the parking?
				1. Yes to a degree. Most of these people that will be parking in these areas are our most expensive seating. These would be suite attendees that can range from $14,000 to $40,000 for the six games. These people do not want to park a couple miles away. We have sold all of these premium seating 100%.
			3. Senator Auer: What time of day was the data collected?
				1. They were collected during mid-day with one being a home game and the other two were non-home games. That is a snapshot and isn’t telling the whole story. It is telling a good story about the situation that we are looking at.
			4. Senator Nordstrom: Do alumni know about the re-park program?
				1. I would have to say both. Some would know that they are parking in a resident area and some probably have no idea.
			5. Senator Hansen: Why don’t you have the expectation that students should be treated equally? Aren’t we an academic institution? What makes students want to be alum if the way they are treated?
				1. I don’t know many students that would pay $50,000 for those spots. These people are doing this out of gifts. They would be only using these spots for close to 48 hours for the year.
				2. I think that you come to a university for a rounded experience. Academics is at the core of it but there is also involvement. You have ASCSU, clubs, greek life and other groups.
				3. We don’t want alienate students and absolutely don’t want to do that. We want to bring students back as alum. I think that is something we want to have as a good solution for everybody. This is all of our university. I like the idea of how we can compensate students and make is a win for everyone.
			6. Senator Murray: Has disability been taken into account? What is the statistics that were not brought in by academics?
				1. We are reserving spaces in all of the lots for those needs. We have been working with housing and dinning for this issues.
				2. I tried to bring that information but is a hard correlation when you take in time and the amount of data. A major of the big gifts from donors of both academics and athletics.
				3. We haven’t yet but that is something that we could absolutely do. We could tell them about the program and let them know to thank and show gratitude to the students. I think that is a good point to add to the literature for the premium ticket holders. This also goes to faculty and staff as some of them will not be able to use their designated parking spots.
			7. Senator Stott: Were the people that bought the premium seats had an expectation of the price?
				1. They bought premium parking with the tickets. We did not tell them specifics as we were still working on the details.
			8. Senator Dean: What about the parking for the library?
				1. We assume the library is open and the classrooms and rec center will be closed. It has been an ongoing discussion about leaving some spaces for the library.
				2. On the weekend, the total campus parking is 2300 and the resident was 1100. On average there is 1200 cars roughly on campus that were not residential. In order to do that, we would put these cars on the outer end of campus.
			9. Associate Senator Jones: When, in terms of the questions we fielded for you, will you have an answer for these questions. What was the compensation conversation?
				1. I think that we have answered the questions that you all have provided. I think we need to have the willingness to adapt to these situations that come up. The first year is going to be a constant feedback of what worked and what didn’t. No matter how hard we try, we will not be able to have it right the first game.
				2. We are prepared for the high numbers and is the worst case scenario. Right now, it could be as low as zero in the best case scenario. I think if people were waiting, we would have to change that to fit the need of the students and to make it the best experience with this system.
				3. We have about 206,00 alums currently and grow our alumni base by around 7,000 each year. The class sizes have grown each year. 85,000 of that base has made some sort of gift. With a larger base, we could expect more to that pool.
				4. That idea has been on the table. It is open and has not been approved or denied. We are looking for feedback on that. We talked about food at the game that day and potentially other vouchers. The one thing that I want to add is 85,000 are donors but all of the alums have paid their tuition already. This program is just for the six home games each year and not the events held in the stadium.
			10. Senator Pyfrom: The shuttle was going to run from 4-8, can we extend those hours? What happens with people leaving their cars from the game?
				1. We asked housing the hours that they think would be best. I think that is something we will have to look at this goes along. This is just a starting point and will be adjusted.
				2. We will use the standard system like we have at Hughes and will be made clear on their parking passes.
			11. Senator Nordstrom: What are you going to do for people that are not premium seating with disability parking?
				1. There are regulations that have to be made and they will be very close to the stadium. We are working through that.
			12. Senator Hansen: If you look at the stadium experience map, there seems like a high interaction probability?
				1. I think it is manageable through the controls before the game. The biggest traffic time would be after the game. We are working on fixing some of these traffic points.
			13. Senator Auer: Do you have plans in place for resident staff? Has there been any discussion about the different types of tailgating?
				1. The plans are to accommodate them to make sure that these staff have reserved areas.
				2. We will have a forum on campus later about this topic.
			14. Gallery: Is re-park happen for south side lots for residence halls?
				1. Yes
			15. Senator Hughes: How much money is from the premium ticket sales?
				1. It is about $2.2 million
3. Ratification & Swearing in of New Members
	* SFRB Members:
		1. Candidates
			1. My name is Blake Warner. I am a senior this year studying construction management. I have been involved in campus with many groups but not ASCSU. I have been interested in learning more about the fee areas and think I could bring a great perspective with the experiences that I have. I am happy to be here and willing to take any questions.
			2. Hello, my name is Madison Cunningham and a Senior studying Communication and History. I have been involved in campus and excited to learn about ASCSU and the fee areas that we have. The knowledge gained while being at CSU has helped me be a great candidate.
		2. Vote
			1. 19:0:1
			2. Candidates ratified into positions
	* Finance Ambassador
		1. I am Rob Leonardand really excited to learn about the finance position and willing to learn from the department.
		2. Vote
			1. 20:1:0
			2. Ratified into office
	* Senators
		1. Jacob Royer – Natural Sciences
	* Associate Senators
		1. Imani Patterson – WGAC

All members were sworn into office/positions

1. Confidence Business
2. New Business
	* Res #4609
		1. Resolution #4609 was adopted for discussion
		2. Resolution #4609 was read by Parliamentarian Vaishampayan
		3. Presentation of Resolution
			1. Historically, when a new administration is elected, they have a week to complete a budget and is thrown together. This is a complex budget. A lot of time, there is not a great comparison between years. This allows departments to leave fiscal notes of recommendation for future uses and would allow for all parties to have more information to make better decisions. We have looked into doing quarterly updates to allow senates to have the tools to look at the money and how it is being spent.
		4. Questions
			1. Senator Aubrey: How will this help the fiscal responsibility?
				1. With more information, people will have a better opportunity to find errors and try to fix these areas over summer. This would allow us to be more efficient and allow senate to have a better understanding.
		5. Sent to University Issues Committee
3. Old Business
	* Res #4606
		1. Resolution #4606 was adopted for discussion
		2. Resolution #4606 was ready by Parliamentarian Vaishampayan
		3. Presentation of Amendments
			1. A bunch of other groups decided to endorse the resolution. We also updated some language to make it politically correct. We also added a new whereas clause.
			2. Move to accept amendments made by committee
				1. Amendments were approved
		4. Discussion/Debate
			1. Senator Hansen: Right now that I want to mention the error in the language and want to say thank you for correcting that.
			2. Vice President Lensky: I would recommend on changing some of the whereas clauses based on the discussion we had this evening. These would include the vouchers, the education of the program, the bus routes and clarifying these areas to make it more specific. I don’t want an empty resolution that ASCSU leadership has to go and present. We could make no progress with these issues and need to make clear language.
			3. Director Siri: I am here before you coming from different experiences. This current resolution will not get us anywhere and come with a counter-proposal. Re-park on the south side lots is happening and doing something that will change nothing. We have the opportunity to change this resolution to make it effective. I am proposing a series of amendments to the resolution. The first would be removing joint from the resolution. The second would have the resolution say residence rather than residency. Also to add a few whereas clauses. The last change would be changing the first therefore clause. Amendments can be seen in attachments.
				1. See attachment for precise wording
				2. All amendments were accepted as friendly amendments
			4. Senator Horowitz: I echo previous speaker about the intentions of the resolution
			5. Senator Pyfrom: I echo previous speaker about the intentions. Let’s walk away with something rather than nothing.
			6. Gallery: I have just learned about this program and frustrated about how it was presented. I wanted to say that I support your decision.
			7. Associate Senator Jones: I mention this as for the need for money and support. I think donors are an important part but also are students. There is a lot of research that occurs here and this is an important institution. We are pushing national agendas as well. I think that this is a point where we need to stand up for ourselves and what we bring to the table. We should stand together on this issue.
			8. Senator Nordstrom: I have to echo previous speakers
			9. Senator Aubrey: I agree with the amendments and where this bill is coming from. At least we will get something out of this resolution. This is something we have to figure out a solution for the students.
			10. Gallery: I want to thank our speakers for coming here tonight. Paying the bills really sucks. I am really disappointed that as a body with the information and the presentation. Where did we fail to mention this to our students? Paying the $2.2 million. I support the bill but am disappointed.
			11. Senator Griffith: I want to amend the resolution by adding: alumni and those in priority seating be made aware that students are sacrificing their spots for them.
				1. Director Siri: Maybe add this to the three-part clause rather than a separate clause.

Friendly amendment accepted.

* + - * 1. Can we find another word besides sacrificing?
				2. Associate Senator Jones: that alumni be made explicitly aware of the re-park program, and the details pertaining thereto, including that students will be required to sacrifice the spot where their vehicle is currently parked for CSU home football games.

Accepted as a friendly amendment

* + - * 1. Made as a friendly amendment to the resolution
			1. Senator Pyfrom: Will an ASCSU member make sure this happens?
			2. Senator Murray: Amendment: any student may make a request through Resources of Disabled Students to be excluded from the re-park plan, and
				1. Friendly amendment: resources for disabled students, parking services, housing and dining, and the game day experience committee work together to allow any student to make a request through RDS to be excluded from the re-park program.
				2. Accepted as a friendly amendment
			3. Vice President Lenksy: Athletics and University Advancement present to the 47th Senate three weeks after the conclusion of the final home game of the 2017 football season to report the analysis of the re-park program.
				1. Accepted as friendly amendment
		1. Vote
			1. 20:0:0
			2. Resolution Passes
	+ Bill #4603
		1. Bill #4603 adopted for discussion
		2. Bill #4603 read by Parliamentarian Vaishapayan
		3. Discussion/Debate
			1. Senator Pyfrom:
			2. Senator Horowitz: Previous Question
		4. Vote
			1. 15:5:0
				1. Bill Passes
1. Reports
	* Senator Horowitz: University Issues met and discussed the Game Day Experience Resolution. If you are new, please come to find me to get information. Internal committees are there for amendments so we don’t have debates like this. Just because you can’t make it is not a valid excuse.
	* Senator Hansen: External Issues did not meet as we did not have legislation and could spend time better. I do echo previous speaker.
	* Senator Nordstrom: External Issues did meet and went over some legislation.
	* Senator Auer: This weekend the drag show was held and raised over $1000
2. Announcements
	* Senator Horowitz: If you are new or need information, please come talk to me. Volleyball has an orange out game on Saturday at 1 pm.
	* Senator Griffith: Tomorrow at APACC at 5pm can come to talk to their senators during a mixer. We encourage everyone to show up.
	* Senator Dean: We want to do a short meeting next week and watch a movie and have some activities.
	* Senator Auer: November 6th, the Fort Collins Running Club needs help and can get community service hours.
	* Parliamentarian Vaishampayan: Music or clap for the fight song.
3. Yea Box
4. Roll Call
5. Birthdays
6. Fight Song
7. Adjournment